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Abstract. The provisioning strategies of two closely related species of albatross breeding sympatrically were studied at Campbell Island, New Zealand. Black-browed Albatrosses (Diomedea melanophrys) had a higher provisioning rate of chicks than Grey-headed Albatrosses (D. chrysostoma) as a result of a higher feeding frequency. Provisioning and satellite-tracking data suggest that Black-browed Albatrosses forage over neritic waters in trips of up to 5 days, in combination with longer trips over oceanic waters. In contrast, it was not possible to separate clearly short and long trips in Grey-headed Albatrosses, but they probably forage mostly over oceanic waters, combined with rafting or feeding near the colony during stays of short duration at sea. No inter-annual differences in foraging trip duration were apparent between years for either species. Chicks were fed larger meals at older ages and when in poorer condition, probably due to a limitation on the rate of assimilation of food. For both species, chick condition after feeding did not influence the duration of foraging trips. Black-browed Albatrosses from Campbell Island feed locally in neritic waters and up to 2,000 km from the colony, in contrast to conspecifics from other sites which feed principally over neritic waters. Grey-headed Albatrosses were largely dependent on oceanic resources as for conspecifics studied elsewhere. This study shows that foraging and provisioning strategies are flexible within species, allowing them to exploit more or less distant resources.
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INTRODUCTION

The performance of seabirds in finding and delivering food to their young can be measured through their provisioning rate, the net energy delivered during any given period (Schoener 1971, 1987). Although many aspects of a species' ecology may influence this rate, it is, nevertheless, a sensitive indicator of performance, and may vary between species (Lack 1968), seasons, and individuals (Weimerskirch et al. 1995, 1997a, 1997b). Ultimately, the provisioning rate affects clutch size (Lack 1968), chick growth rate (Ricklefs 1968), survival of young, and therefore parental fitness (Clutton-Brock 1991). In Procellariiformes, clutch size is one, and thus variation in provisioning performance can be measured directly by the food intake of a single chick. Even in closely related species of similar size, significant differences occur in the growth rate and chick-rearing period. For example, differences between Black-browed (Diomedea melanophrys) and Grey-headed Albatrosses (D. chrysostoma) in provisioning rate (Tickell and Pinder 1975) and diet quality (Prince 1980) result in a longer chick rearing period and biennial breeding for Grey-headed Albatrosses (Prince et al. 1994). Satellite telemetry studies have shown that these species have species-specific foraging strategies. Black-browed Albatrosses at the Kerguelen Islands feed exclusively on shelf areas (Cherel and Weimerskirch 1995, Weimerskirch et al. 1997a), and move between two shelf areas at South Georgia (Prince et al. 1997). Foraging also is linked to the Polar Frontal Zone (PFZ). In comparison, Grey-headed Albatrosses are seldom found over shelf areas around the Crozet
and Kerguelen Islands (Weimerskirch et al. 1988), and forage mainly over oceanic waters at South Georgia, but also concentrate on part of the PFZ (Prince et al. 1997).

Whether provisioning rate in seabirds is regulated by chick needs or limited by adult energy regimes is unclear (Ricklefs 1992, Ricklefs and Shew 1994, Hamer and Hill 1997). For some species, there appears to be little relationship between chick condition and adult foraging performance, as meal sizes are independent of trip duration, chick condition, or meal mass (Hamer and Hill 1993, Hamer 1994). However, for other species, the condition of chicks has some influence on adult foraging strategies (Ricklefs et al. 1985). For example, British Storm-Petrel (Hydrobates pelagicus) adults return more quickly to feed chicks in poorer condition (Bolton 1995). Recently, differing modes of regulation have been linked to a species’ foraging strategy, with neritic-only feeders having less ability to respond to chick needs than those species which divide their time between oceanic and neritic feeding (Weimerskirch 1998).

Black-browed Albatrosses at Campbell Island are on average 25% lighter than conspecifics from other sites (Waugh et al. 1999a), and belong to another sub-species (D. m. impavida). The fledging period takes longer at Campbell Island than at other sites (Tickell and Pinder 1975, Weimerskirch et al. 1997b). Grey-headed Albatrosses have similar morphometry across sites, but at Campbell Island the fledging period is longer than at South Georgia (Tickell and Pinder 1975, Moore and Moffat 1990). These variations suggest that between-site intra-specific differences in foraging behavior may be considerable. The aims of this study are to examine whether (1) the provisioning and foraging strategies differ between Black-browed and Grey-headed Albatrosses at Campbell Island, (2) the two species differ from birds at other sites as a result of exploiting different environments, (3) chick growth differs between species and years, and (4) the performance of individual adults is related to chick food requirements.

METHODS

Foraging of Grey-headed and Black-browed Albatrosses was studied at Campbell Island (52°S, 169°E), New Zealand during the 1995–1996 and 1996–1997 breeding seasons (hereafter 1996 and 1997, respectively). Approximately 26,000 pairs of Black-browed Albatrosses and 6,000 pairs of Grey-headed Albatrosses nest at the site annually (P. Moore, unpubl. data). The study colony at Bull Rock South contains annually approximately 8,000 and 300 breeding pairs of each species, respectively. Several species of petrel also breed at the site, but numbers have been greatly diminished by the presence of rats (Rattus norvegicus) and cats (Felis domesticus) (Bailey and Sorensen 1962).

The study area contained birds of both species and these were observed continuously during daylight hours to estimate foraging and provisioning parameters. One adult from each nest was marked with a 5–10 cm band of picric acid on the breast during incubation, and during the study period the identity of each visiting adult was noted, and its behavior observed continuously while it was at the colony, along with its times of arrival and departure. Chicks were weighed to the nearest 50 g using a 10-kg Salter spring balance every day at 04:00, 19:00 (Universal Time + 12 hr), and just after each feed, giving information about the meal mass and the growth rate of chicks. All chicks studied survived the study period.

The study was undertaken in three periods: 9–23 January 1996, 9–23 January 1997, and 6–20 February 1997. During the January 1996 and February 1997 periods, provisioning and foraging strategies and chick growth were examined, whereas in January 1997, only chick growth was measured. Samples of 35, 40, and 32 Black-browed Albatross chicks were used in January 1996, January 1997, and February 1997, respectively, along with 24, 15, and 35 Grey-headed Albatross chicks, respectively, for the same periods.

Chicks were aged during the 1997 season from their hatching dates. Chicks from the two species were between 24–37 days old at the start of the January study period in 1997. During the 1996 season, hatching data were not available, but we considered chicks to be of equivalent age between seasons, as three seasons of hatching data showed maximum variation in the mean hatching date of only 4 days in Black-browed Albatrosses and 3 days in Grey-headed Albatrosses at Campbell Island (S. Waugh and P. Moore, unpubl. data). During the study periods, chicks were in the rapid phase of growth (Ricklefs 1973) and had not yet attained peak weights. For these species, chicks aged between 30–80
days are in the $t_{0.90}$ phase of growth (Tickell and Pinder 1975), which is the period required for growth from 10 to 90% of asymptotic weight (Ricklefs 1973). During this phase, growth curves fitted to different equations can most readily be compared (Ricklefs 1973), hence we considered that comparison between the linear regressions of growth curves across groups to be valid.

Albatrosses feed their chicks by regurgitation, thus whole food items cannot be counted, and estimation of meal masses relies on weighing chicks to determine mass differences before and after feeding. It is necessary to take account of the mass chicks lose through respiration and defecation between feedings to estimate meal masses (Ricklefs et al. 1985). We estimated average rates of mass-loss for chicks of each species by calculating the proportion of body weight lost per hour during the first 6 hr, 6-12 hr, 12-24 hr, and 24 hr or more, after a feed. The estimated weight lost before each chicks' feeding event was subtracted from its last pre-feed weight, and the difference between this value and the post-feed weight gave the estimated meal mass. Daily provisioning rate was estimated using the average meal mass delivered daily for each individual adult.

We estimated breeding success by weekly inspections of nests from the beginning of incubation until 1 February in 1996 and 1997, except for 10 November to 4 January in the 1996 season, when no visits were made.

Satellite telemetry was carried out on seven adult Black-browed and five adult Grey-headed Albatrosses feeding chicks (see Waugh et al. 1999b). They were fitted with Toyocom 2038 (55 g) and Microwave 100 (30 g) satellite transmitters following the methods of Weimerskirch et al. (1997b). Ten and five foraging trips were followed, respectively. Satellite-tracking data were analyzed using GIS ARC-INFO, integrated with bathymetric data. Bathymetry was interpolated from 500-m depth grids that were generated by ARC-INFO, from contour data sourced from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) (British Oceanographic Data Centre 1994). Five Black-browed and four Grey-headed Albatrosses were fitted with 20-g temperature-recorders at the tarsus. These devices log the bird's activity (Wilson et al. 1995) and measure the sea-surface temperature (SST), thereby indicating in which water-mass birds were foraging (Weimerskirch et al. 1995). We estimated minimum foraging ranges from these records by calculating the closest distance between Campbell Island and the lowest (or highest) temperatures recorded based on SST accessed through the Integrated Global Ocean Services System (IGOSS) (Reynolds and Smith 1992).

**STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

We tested for differences between breeding success, the number of birds undertaking only neritic trips, between years or species using $\chi^2$ tests. Chicks growth was examined using the regression of chick-mass upon date for each chick, with the slope of the equation indicating the growth rate. Growth data from the beginning and the last 14 days of each study period gave initial masses and growth rates, respectively, and were compared using students $t$-tests.

Pre-feed chick condition was calculated for each individual at each feeding-event by taking the residuals of the linear regression on the chick's weight at that time. After-feed condition estimates are the residuals from the regression of post-feed weights on time. Meal mass and chick condition before and after feeding were examined in relation to the duration of foraging trips and the time since the chicks' previous meal using Pearson's correlation. Data for meal mass and the interval since the previous meal were normalized with square root transformations, and foraging trip duration by a log transformation (Zar 1984).

Between species, year, and species $\times$ year interactions for the foraging parameters were tested using ANOVA with year and species as factors. Means and standard deviations are used throughout, and for all statistical tests, the level of significance was 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**BREEDING SUCCESS**

There were significant inter-annual differences for Black-browed (breeding success 68%, $n = 101$, and 87%, $n = 100$, respectively; $\chi^2 = 10.1, P < 0.01$), but not for Grey-headed Albatrosses (68%, $n = 93$, and 74%, $n = 81$, respectively; $\chi^2 = 0.8$). The differences in breeding success between the species were significant in 1997 ($\chi^2 = 4.9, P = 0.02$), but not 1996 ($\chi^2 = 0.1$). Hatching success details were not available for 1996, but in 1997, fledging success (number of
CHICK GROWTH

Growth rates were positive, and differed significantly from zero for all groups of chicks except Grey-headed Albatrosses in February 1997 (Fig. 1). Chick growth in the January periods showed no significant inter-annual differences for Black-browed Albatross, but Grey-headed Albatross chicks grew faster in the second year ($t_{34.8} = -3.0, P = 0.01$, t-tests with separate variances throughout this section), but did not have different initial weights ($t_{52.8} = -0.2$). Between the two species, the masses of chicks at the beginning of the study periods varied in January 1996 ($t_{55.1} = 3.0, P = 0.01$) and January 1997 ($t_{57.4} = 3.1, P = 0.01$), but not in February 1997 ($t_{18.1} = 0.7$), with Black-browed Albatross chicks being heavier at the beginning of the periods. There were no significant differences in growth rate between species in any period.

NUMBER AND DURATION OF VISITS PER DAY

The average number of visits to nests per day varied between species but not between years, with Black-browed Albatrosses visiting more frequently (Table 1). The duration of visits to the colony showed species, year, and species × year effects. Black-browed Albatrosses stayed for shorter times at the colony than Grey-headed Albatrosses during both years, and for both spe-

TABLE 1. Foraging parameters ($\bar{x}$ ± SD [$n$]) of Grey-headed (GHA) and Black-browed Albatrosses (BBA).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>January 1996</th>
<th>February 1997</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>$F$</th>
<th>$P$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. visits per nest per day</td>
<td>BBA</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.22</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>32.7</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GHA</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.23</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species × year</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of stay at the colony (hr)</td>
<td>BBA</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GHA</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.78</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.07</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species × year</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of foraging trip (days)</td>
<td>BBA</td>
<td>1.64 ± 1.00</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.71</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GHA</td>
<td>2.16 ± 1.26</td>
<td>2.10 ± 1.27</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species × year</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meal mass (g)</td>
<td>BBA</td>
<td>393 ± 78</td>
<td>474 ± 67</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GHA</td>
<td>456 ± 130</td>
<td>542 ± 104</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species × year</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of visits without chick being fed</td>
<td>BBA</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.05</td>
<td>species</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GHA</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>year</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>species × year</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cied, the duration of stays were shorter in 1997
than 1996 (Table 1).

FORAGING TRIP DURATIONS

The distributions of the duration of foraging
trips were positively skewed for both species,
with an abundance of short trips (Fig. 2). Mean
trip duration showed significant differences be-
tween species only (Table 1). Black-browed Al-
batrosses were undertaking shorter trips on av-
erage than Grey-headed Albatrosses. The distri-
bution of maximum foraging ranges from the
colony of birds fitted with satellite-trackers or
temperature-loggers indicates that for Black-
browed Albatrosses (n = 12 birds), trips of less
than 5 days duration came from birds travelling
to less than 600 km at maximum range from the
colony (Fig. 3). For these trips, the water depths
at the maximum ranges were less than 1,000 m,
indicating that birds were foraging exclusively
over the Campbell Plateau during these short
trips. Longer trips were over deep waters (2–7
km depth). Based on these findings, for subse-
cquent analyses we divided foraging trips by
Black-browed Albatrosses into neritic trips of O–
5 days duration and oceanic trips of greater than
5 days. For Grey-headed Albatrosses, the divi-
sion between neritic and oceanic trips was un-
clear (n = 9 birds, Fig. 3). Satellite-tracked
Grey-headed Albatrosses foraged over deep wa-
ters during 3-day trips. Additionally, for this

specie, a high number of short foraging trips
(Fig. 2) was recorded from the provisioning-rate
study; we were unable to discern whether these
were foraging trips on the Campbell Plateau or
simply birds resting at sea near the colony be-
tween attempts to feed chicks.

NERITIC AND OCEANIC TRIPS

For Black-browed Albatrosses, neritic trips were
predominant in the foraging strategy, with 86%
(n = 69) and 85% (n = 63) of visits to the chick
following these trips in 1996 and 1997, respec-
tively. The proportion of birds which undertook
only neritic trips (1996: 49%, 1997: 34%) did
not differ significantly between years (x^2 = 2.2,
P > 0.1). The maximum numbers of successive
neritic trips for Black-browed Albatrosses were
16 and 9 in 1996 and 1997, respectively. Mean
durations of neritic foraging trips (1996: x ± SD
= 1.3 ± 0.4 days, n = 90; 1997: 1.3 ± 0.6 days,
n = 63) and oceanic trips (1996: 7.1 ± 1.3 days,
n = 35; 1997: 7.2 ± 1.1 days, n = 21) showed no significant differences between years for Black-browed Albatrosses (−0.4 < t < 0.6).

Black-browed Albatrosses delivered 14 ± 22% (n = 69) of meals after long trips in 1996 and 15 ± 17% (n = 63) in 1997. The proportion of time birds spent in long trips was 33 ± 36% (n = 69) in 1996 and 40 ± 31% (n = 63) in 1997. There were no significant inter-annual differences in either of these parameters.

For Grey-headed Albatrosses, trips were not divided into oceanic and neritic trips for analysis. However, some birds performed successive trips of less than 3 days duration in 1996 (2%) and 1997 (3%). The maximum number of successive trips of this length was 10 and 8, respectively, for the same years.

MEAL MASS

Meal masses differed between years and species, with Grey-headed Albatross chicks receiving larger meals than Black-browed Albatross chicks in both years, and meals delivered in February 1997 being larger than those from January 1996 in both species (Table 1). The occurrence of chicks receiving no meal during an adult’s visit showed no differences between years and species (Table 1). However, there was a significant species × year effect, due to a decrease in the proportions between years for Black-browed Albatrosses, and an increase for Grey-headed Albatrosses in both species (Table 1). The condition of the chicks of both species after feeding had no influence on the duration of the subsequent trip in 1996 (four tests, 0.03 < r < 0.16).

DAILY PROVISIONING BY ADULTS

For Black-browed Albatrosses, the daily provisioning rate by adults was 141 ± 73 g day⁻¹ (n = 70) in 1996 and 183 ± 74 g day⁻¹ (n = 63) in 1997. For Grey-headed Albatrosses, the average rate was 108 ± 58 g day⁻¹ in 1996 (n = 46) and 157 ± 62 g day⁻¹ (n = 28) in 1997. Provisioning rates were significantly higher in the second year of study (F₁,₁₀₅ = 19.4, P < 0.001) and higher for Black-browed than Grey-headed Albatrosses (F₁,₁₀₅ = 8.7, P < 0.01), but there was no interaction effect (F₁,₁₀₅ = 0.2).

DISCUSSION

FORAGING AND PROVISIONING STRATEGIES AT CAMPBELL ISLAND

Black-browed and Grey-headed Albatross foraging strategies at Indian and Atlantic Ocean sub-Antarctic sites have been characterized as neritic and oceanic, respectively (Weimerskirch et al. 1986, 1988, 1997b, Cherel and Weimerskirch 1995, Prince et al. 1997). The more diverse foraging strategies found in Black-browed Albatrosses from Campbell Island, however, show this species is capable of adapting greatly to the environmental characteristics surrounding its breeding sites.
aging trips, thus were exploiting both foraging environments. Such a combination of strategies has been well established for several other Procellariiform species, and is thought to allow pelagic seabirds to rely on distant resources to fledge their chicks (Weimerskirch et al. 1993, 1995, 1997b, Weimerskirch 1998). Use of two contrasting foraging strategies by Black-browed Albatrosses has not been demonstrated before. It also is a novel finding that a seabird species uses either exclusively neritic, or a mixture of neritic and oceanic foraging strategies depending on the site of origin.

In contrast, Grey-headed Albatrosses occur rarely over shelf waters at other sites and are thought to feed mainly over deeper waters (Weimerskirch et al. 1986, 1988, Prince et al. 1997). Consistent with this, satellite-tracked birds from Campbell Island traveled to oceanic water even when undertaking relatively short trips (3 days, Waugh et al. 1999b). However, birds followed in the provisioning study commonly undertook short trips (less than 2 days). Average daily distances of travel for satellite-tracked Grey-headed Albatrosses suggest that these trips would be within 400–500 km range of Campbell Island over the Campbell Plateau, suggesting that Grey-headed Albatrosses could spend a significant proportion of their time feeding over shelf waters. Some birds performed up to 10 trips of less than 3 days duration successively, suggesting that foraging relatively close to the colony may be important for some individuals.

These different foraging strategies are reflected in the differing chick-provisioning strategies of the two species at Campbell Island. Grey-headed Albatrosses delivered larger meals less often than Black-browed Albatrosses, although the result was a lower provisioning rate than for Black-browed Albatrosses.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN YEARS AT CAMPBELL ISLAND

The two years represented favorable breeding seasons at Campbell Island, with breeding success above the median recorded for six previous years of study (Waugh et al. 1999c). Slight interspecific differences in breeding success were apparent, with Black-browed Albatrosses having higher overall breeding success in 1996 than 1997, and having significantly higher breeding success than Grey-headed Albatrosses in 1997. Thus, it would appear in the slightly poorer year (1997), Grey-headed Albatrosses had relatively greater difficulty in raising chicks than Black-browed Albatrosses. Some inter-annual differences in foraging and provisioning strategies could be accounted for by the fact that chicks were about 28 days older in the second year of study.

For Black-browed Albatrosses, the older chicks of the second year were provisioned at a higher rate with larger meal masses, presumably due to greater energy needs of older compared to younger chicks. In addition, for older chicks, a lower proportion was not fed during visits, and colony-visits were shorter. These factors suggest a limitation in the ability of small chicks to receive meals and is consistent with the findings of other studies which showed that in storm petrels (Ricklefs 1992) and Black-browed Albatross (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b), meal sizes could be influenced by the chick’s ability to assimilate food. Despite the age difference in chicks, the foraging strategies of Black-browed Albatrosses did not differ between years, with birds undertaking similar proportions of neritic and oceanic trips, and trips were of similar average duration. These results indicate that at least for neritic foraging trips, which form the majority of trips for this species, birds may be exploiting a food source which does not vary in location between years, as for conspecifics at Kerguelen Island (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b). The lack of increase in meal sizes during each two-week study period for this species further indicates a limitation on foraging, perhaps because birds have fixed commuting times, and thus return to the colony with whatever they find during the hours available for foraging. Chick growth in January was similar between years for Black-browed Albatrosses. This may result from an intrinsic growth rate (Prince and Ricketts 1981) and/or similar feeding conditions between years.

Similar inter-annual patterns in foraging and chick provisioning were evident for Grey-headed Albatrosses, with no detectable differences in the mean duration of foraging trips between years. Older chicks were provisioned at a higher rate as meals were larger, and the durations of stay at the colony by adults were longer for younger chicks. Chick growth showed more inter-annual variation in this species, not differing significantly from zero in the second year. A reliance on different and more distant food sources
could explain these differences compared to Black-browed Albatrosses. Meal sizes increased during each two-week period, again suggesting differences in food availability compared to Black-browed Albatrosses.

REGULATION VERSUS LIMITATION

A variety of provisioning responses by adult Procellariiformes has been described (Ricklefs et al. 1985, Bolton 1995, Weimerskirch et al. 1997a, 1997b). In some studies, adults provisioned independently of chick needs, suggesting an intrinsic rhythm in adult visitation (Ricklefs 1992, Hamer and Hill 1993, Ricklefs and Shew 1994). The long inter-feed interval by individual adults produces a high probability of a visit by the bird’s partner between successive feeds. Therefore, chick condition after a meal conveys relatively little information about what the chick’s needs will be when the adult returns after its subsequent foraging trip (Ricklefs 1992). In other studies, adults adjusted their provisioning rates, returning more quickly to feed chicks in poorer condition, although they were unable to deliver larger meals (Bolton 1995, Weimerskirch et al. 1995). For Black-browed Albatrosses at the Kerguelen Islands, birds responded to experimental overfeeding of chicks by lengthening of foraging trips, thus provisioning at lower rates when chicks were in good condition (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b). However, the converse did not apply—underfed chicks received only slightly larger meals at the same rate as chicks at control nests. The ability of adults of different species to regulate their provisioning appears linked to their species-specific foraging strategies. Species with oceanic foraging habits are able to increase their provisioning rates by increasing the proportion of foraging trips in near-shore areas (Weimerskirch et al. 1995). For species which use a single strategy of short, frequent trips, as is typical for Black-browed Albatrosses at sites other than Campbell Island and for other neritic feeders, the ability to increase the frequency of feeds is limited and birds may regulate provisioning of chicks in a limited way by the size of meals rather than the duration of trips (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b).

We investigated the relationships between chick condition, meal size, and foraging trip duration to test whether the study species were influenced by chick needs in the same ways. Both Black-browed and Grey-headed Albatrosses delivered larger meals to chicks in poorer condition, and to chicks that had fasted longer since their last meal, indicating that they could respond to the nutritional status of their chick by giving more food. The ability of chicks to consume larger meals when they are in poorer condition is likely to be the mechanism explaining these results, as recently fed chicks did not take large meals from their parents. In both species, adults did not respond to the condition of chicks after a feed, as there was no relationship between this factor and the duration of the subsequent foraging trip. Here, Black-browed and Grey-headed Albatrosses show similar responses to other petrel (Ricklefs 1992, Hamer and Hill 1994) and albatross species (Weimerskirch et al. 1997a).

Provisioning strategies of the two species differed both in duration of foraging trips and the meal-mass delivered. For Black-browed Albatrosses, the size of the meal brought to chicks showed no relationship to the duration of the foraging trip in either year, indicating that meal size is determined by the adult’s foraging success. In some species, adults return to feed their chick after attaining a threshold quantity of food (Bolton 1995), whereas in other species, they return regardless of the amount of food obtained (Weimerskirch et al. 1997a). At Campbell Island, a significant proportion of meals is delivered after neritic trips by Black-browed Albatrosses. For Grey-headed Albatrosses, the size of meals delivered was proportional to the duration of the previous foraging trip. By relying on more distant resources, meals were delivered less frequently, and therefore, chicks were more often less replete, and so able to receive bigger meals.

COMPARISON AMONG SITES

The length of the chick rearing period of the two species differs among sites. It is longest at Campbell Island, 130 days and 152 days for Black-browed and Grey-headed Albatrosses, respectively (Moore and Moffat 1990), and is shortest at South Georgia, 116 days and 141 days for the two species, respectively (Tickell and Pinder 1975). At the Kerguelen Islands, Black-browed Albatross chicks fledge in 120 days (Weimerskirch et al. 1997b). The long fledging period for Black-browed Albatrosses at Campbell Island is paradoxical, as adults are smaller at this site (Waugh et al. 1999a).

The plasticity in foraging behavior of Black-
browed Albatrosses between sites indicates that the marine environment adjacent to sites has an important influence on the ecology of this species. The physical oceanographic environments differ greatly between the sites. Black-browed Albatrosses at the Kerguelen and Crozet Islands mainly use the shelf areas adjacent to the islands, and rarely forage over deep waters (Weimerskirch et al. 1986, 1997b, Cherel and Weimerskirch 1995). At South Georgia, this species exploits rich, but seasonally-variable krill stocks, feeding over shelves close to South Georgia and the South Orkney Islands (Prince et al. 1997). The PFZ passes relatively close to South Georgia (Peterson and Whitworth 1994) and is located at the Kerguelen Islands (Park et al. 1993). At Campbell Island, there is a more extensive shelf area than at other sites, and likely associated fish-stocks. Despite this, Campbell Island birds undertaking long foraging trips travel up to 2,000 km to the PFZ and other deep water areas, and thus are travelling farther than conspecifics, which undertake only neritic feeding trips. Therefore, at Campbell Island, Black-browed Albatrosses behave more like an oceanic feeding species, with the lengthened chick-rearing period a probable result. Grey-headed Albatrosses appear to follow similar foraging strategies to conspecifics at other sites, and rely mainly on oceanic resources.

This study has shown a clear division of foraging strategies into neritic and oceanic foraging trips for Black-browed Albatrosses breeding at Campbell Island. It highlights the flexibility of foraging and provisioning strategies within the same species foraging in different environmental conditions. For Grey-headed Albatrosses, the foraging strategy is principally oceanic. It is unclear whether this species also relies on resources from the Campbell Plateau. Further satellite-tracking and provisioning studies are needed to examine this question.
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