1	Breaking the sticks: a hierarchical change-point model
2	for estimating ontogenetic shifts with stable isotope
3	data
4	Matthieu Authier <sup>1,2,6</sup> , Céline Martin <sup>3</sup> , Aurore Ponchon <sup>3,4</sup> , Stephanie
5	Steelandt <sup>3,5</sup> , Ilham Bentaleb <sup>3</sup> , and Christophe Guinet <sup>1</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Centre d'Études Biologiques de Chizé, UPR 1934 du CNRS, 79 360
7	Villiers-en-Bois
8	<sup>2</sup> École Doctorale Sciences pour l'Environnement Gay Lussac-Université de
9	Poitiers
10	<sup>3</sup> Institut des Sciences de l'Evolution, UMR 5554 du CNRS, Place E.Bataillon
11	Bât. 22 / 3e / CC061, 34095 Montpellier Cedex 5
12	<sup>4</sup> Centre d'Écologie Fonctionnelle et Évolutive, UMR 5175 du CNRS, 1919
13	Route de Mende, F34293 Montpellier Cedex 5
14	<sup>5</sup> Centre d'Études Nordiques, Université de Laval, 2405 rue de la Terrasse,
15	Québec, Canada G1V0A6
16	<sup>6</sup> authier@cebc.cnrs.fr
17	September 22, 2011
18	Abstract
19	1. Stable isotopes are increasingly used in ecology to investigate ontogenetic shifts

20		in foraging habitat (via $\delta^{13}$ C) and in trophic level (via $\delta^{15}$ N). These shifts are in
21		essence an individual-level phenomenon, requiring repeated measures throughout the
22		life of individuals, that is longitudinal data. Longitudinal data require in turn
23		specifying an appropriate covariance structure. Here we present a hierarchical model
24		to jointly investigate individual ontogenetic shifts in $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ values.
25	2.	In a Bayesian framework, we used a Cholesky decomposition for estimating a
26		moderately-sized covariance matrix, thereby directly estimating correlations between
27		parameters describing time-series of isotopic measurements. We offer guidelines on
28		how to select the covariance structure.
29	3.	The approach is illustrated with a hierarchical change-point (or broken stick) model
30		applied to a data set collected on Southern Elephant Seals, Mirounga leonina.
31		Ontogenetic shifts in foraging habitat, following a juvenile and variable stage, were
32		detected and interpreted as fidelity to a foraging strategy; while ontogenetic shifts in
33		trophic level were more likely the result of complete independence from maternal
34		resources followed by a gradual increase in trophic level as seals aged.
35	4.	Specifying both an appropriate covariance and mean structure enabled us to draw
36		strong inferences on the ecology of an elusive marine predator, and has wide
37		applicability for isotopic ecology provided repeated isotopic measurements are
38		available.

# **1** Introduction

The use of stable isotopes in ecology is expanding rapidly (Kelly, 2000; Newsome *et al.*, 2007;
West *et al.*, 2006; Wolf *et al.*, 2009). This inexpensive technique has become extremely popular
to investigate various phenomena, from migration (Hobson *et al.*, 1999) to diet estimation
(Semmens *et al.*, 2009). A recent application is the detection of temporal shifts in a species' diet
(Phillips & Eldridge, 2006; Popa-Lisseanu *et al.*, 2007), and more specifically of changes in

trophic level throughout the life of an individual, that is the detection of ontogenetic shifts

(Estrada *et al.*, 2006; Post, 2003). An ontogenic shift is defined as *the patterns in an organism's resource use that develop as it increases in size from birth or hatching to its maximum* (Werner & Gilliam, 1984). In their review on ontogenetic shifts, Werner & Gilliam (1984) focused on changes in habitat use and trophic level, both of which are apprehended in isotopic ecology via carbon ( $\delta^{13}$ C) and nitrogen ( $\delta^{15}$ N) stable isotopes respectively.

<sup>51</sup> Carbon isotopes are used for identifying carbon sources and fluxes within ecosystems (Kelly,

<sup>52</sup> 2000; Peterson & Fry, 1987; West *et al.*, 2006). Natural gradients in carbon isotopes occur

<sup>53</sup> between terrestrial and marine food webs (Schoeninger & DeNiro, 1984; Hobson *et al.*, 1994),

<sup>54</sup> between inshore and offshore waters (Rau *et al.*, 1982; Hobson *et al.*, 1994), between benthic

<sup>55</sup> and pelagic foodwebs (France, 1995) or between low and high latitudes water masses (Rau

*et al.*, 1982, 1989). The nitrogen isotopic ratio is a reflection of the trophic level of organisms

<sup>57</sup> (Post, 2002; Vanderklift & Ponsard, 2003). Because the lighter isotope is usually more reactive,

<sup>58</sup> <sup>14</sup>N is preferentially excreted and the heavier <sup>15</sup>N is preferentially retained, a phenomenon

<sup>59</sup> known as fractionation (Fry, 2006). This differential reactivity results in a predictable

 $_{60}$  enrichment of the ratio of  $^{15}$ N to  $^{14}$ N from preys to consumers (Kelly, 2000).

<sup>61</sup> A large number of studies looking at ontogenic shifts concerns species with "cryptic lifestages",

<sup>62</sup> in particular marine organisms such as turtles (Reich *et al.*, 2007), fish (Estrada *et al.*, 2006;

Post, 2003) or marine mammals (Drago et al., 2009; Hobson & Sease, 1998; Mendes et al.,

<sup>64</sup> 2007; Newsome *et al.*, 2009). In some studies, repeated isotopic measurements were available

<sup>65</sup> for the same individual using so-called archive tissues, because they are metabolically inert after

<sup>66</sup> synthesis, such as vertebrae (Estrada *et al.*, 2006), or teeth (Hobson & Sease, 1998; Mendes

et al., 2007; Newsome et al., 2009). These studies addressed the estimation of a change-point in

the time-series of isotopic measurements, yet they typically pooled data from all individuals to

<sup>69</sup> infer a population-level change-point, or ontogenetic shift. For example, Newsome *et al.* (2009)

<sup>70</sup> fitted a 4 parameters logistic model to estimate a change in dentin  $\delta^{15}$ N of Californian Killer

Whales (Orcinus orca) after weaning. The model is fit at the population level, that is assuming 71 all individuals experienced an ontogenetic shifts at the same age, despite apparent individual 72 heterogeneity in the raw plot (their Figure 2a). Ignoring individual heterogeneity when it is in 73 fact present may hinder our ability to draw accurate inferences (Cooch et al., 2002; Petrovskii 74 et al., 2011). In addition, the change-point is often treated as known even when it was first 75 estimated from the same data. Unless a profile likelihood approach is used, no confidence 76 interval for the change-point is usually reported, and all subsequent inferences are conditional 77 on the point estimate for the change-point. 78

Stable isotopes in ecology of wild animals are often hailed as a powerful technique. Yet, 79 inferences are typically drawn from statistical analyses that tend to 1) emphasize testing over 80 estimation and goodness-of-fit (Graham, 2001; Martinez Abrain, 2010); and 2) focus on the 81 mean response at the expense of variability (but see Hénaux et al. (2011)). In the case of 82 detecting an ontogenic shift, the problem is clearly one of estimation: when does an organism 83 change its habitat use or trophic level? Further questions may arise as to what are the 84 ecological, life-history and ultimately population consequences of such an individual change 85 (Werner & Gilliam, 1984; Graham et al., 2007). This paper thus deals with the problem of 86 estimating individual ontogenic shifts with longitudinal isotopic data, that is repeated 87 measurements of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N on the same organism throughout its life. We present a 88 Bayesian change-point model to jointly estimate individual ontogenic shifts in  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N. 89 Our aim is to bring forward to a larger audience the vast literature on change-point models 90 (Beckage et al., 2007; Hall et al., 2000; Muniz-Terrera et al., 2011; Ghosh & Vaida, 2007), and 91 how to fit them using the BUGS language (Lunn et al., 2000). 92

<sup>93</sup> Change-point, or broken-stick, models aim at finding an abrupt rupture in a time-series. The <sup>94</sup> time-series is assumed to be the juxtaposition of piece-wise linear homogeneous segments, each <sup>95</sup> segment separated from the next by a change-point. Such models have been used in <sup>96</sup> epidemiology to infer the onset of cognitive decline (Hall *et al.*, 2000; Muniz-Terrera *et al.*,

2011), of prostate cancer (Bellera et al., 2008) or of HIV immunologic response decline (Ghosh 97 & Vaida, 2007). In ecology, Beckage et al. (2007) used a change-point model to study 98 allometric relationships between tree height and tree diameter or to study seedling recruitment 90 with respect to canopy cover along a transect; while Da-Silva et al. (2008) studied 100 post-reproductive survival in a partially semelparous marsupial. These models are very flexible 101 as they allow specifying different probability distributions to describe different parts of a time 102 series. Change-point models thus seem appropriate to describe ontogenetic shifts (e.g. Post 103 (2003)), but are not prescriptive. Other models (for example Newsome et al. (2009)) may prove 104 useful when investigating ontogenic shifts. Our aims here are to expose the use of powerful 105 statistical tools to help ecologists drawing strong inferences (Platt, 1964). We will illustrate our 106 methodology with an example using data on Southern Elephant Seals Mirounga leonina. 107

#### **108** 1.1 Southern Elephant Seal Example

Southern Elephant Seals are marine carnivores with a very elusive lifestyle since they can spend 109 more than 80% of their lifetime at sea (McIntyre *et al.*, 2010). Where they are foraging 110 remained a mystery until the advent of miniaturized electronic tags (Biuw et al., 2007). Seals 111 from îles Kerguelen (49°30' S, 69°30' E) in the Southern Indian Ocean show a dual foraging 112 strategy: animals forage either in Antarctic waters or in polar frontal waters (Bailleul et al., 113 2010). Across the Southern Ocean,  $\delta^{13}$ C decreases with increasing latitude (Bentaleb *et al.*, 114 1998; Trull & Armand, 2001). Carbon stable isotopes can thus help identify the foraging areas 115 of marine predators: a relative difference of  $\approx 2\%$  is expected between the two strategies 116 (Cherel & Hobson, 2007; Jaeger et al., 2010). Processes underlying carbon isotopic 117 fractionation in marine foodwebs are briefly reviewed in MacKenzie et al. (2011) and a model 118 for fractionation is described in Rau et al. (1996). 119

<sup>120</sup> With Southern Elephant Seals, we were interested in answering the following questions:

121	• Are seals faithfull to a foraging strategy (Bradshaw et al., 2004)?
122	• When do they become faithfull?
123	• Are ontogenic shifts in carbon (foraging habitat) and nitrogen (trophic level) isotopes
124	concomitant?
125	• Are there notable sex differences?
126	• Can we detect differences in stable isotope values before and after the 1970s population
127	crash (Authier et al., 2011)?

# **2 Material & Methods**

#### **2.1** Notations and Assumptions

Throughout we will assume the data are composed of N measurements of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N on m 130 different individuals. For the  $j^{th}$  individual, there are  $n_j$  measurement, such that  $N = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_j$ . 13 These measurement are collected along some biologically-meaningful ordered scale such as age 132 (or size). This scale is assumed continuous for convenience. We will also posit that a piecewise 133 linear, or broken-stick model, provides an adequate description of the data, although this may be 134 relaxed to consider non-linear functions as well. With the broken-stick model, we will denote 135 by  $K_i^{\delta^{13}C}$  ( $K_i^{\delta^{15}N}$ ) the age of the  $j^{th}$  individual when an ontogenetic shift in foraging habitat 136 (trophic level) occurs. 137

### 138 2.2 Model Building

The time-series of isotopic measurements for the  $j^{th}$  individuals is then modelled as: for  $i \in [1:n_j]$ 

$$\delta^{13}C_{i,j} = a_{1,j} + (Age_{i,j} - e^{a_{3,j}}) \times \begin{cases} a_{2,j} + \varepsilon_{i,1}, & Age_{i,j} \le e^{a_{3,j}} \\ a_{4,j} + \varepsilon_{i,2}, & Age_{i,j} > e^{a_{3,j}} \end{cases}$$
(1)

 $a_{1,j} = \text{ isotopic value at ontogenetic shift}$   $a_{2,j} = \text{ slope before the ontogenetic shift}$   $a_{3,j} = \log(K_j^{\delta^{13}C})$   $a_{4,j} = \text{ slope after the ontogenetic shift}$   $\varepsilon_{i,1} \sim \mathbf{N}(0, \sigma_{\delta^{13}C,1}) \text{ are the residuals before the ontogenetic shift}$   $\varepsilon_{i,2} \sim \mathbf{N}(0, \sigma_{\delta^{13}C,2}) \text{ are the residuals after the ontogenetic shift}$   $a_{142} \text{ and } \sigma_{\delta^{13}C} \text{ is the residual standard deviation, which is allowed to be different before and after the ontogenetic shift}$ 

and  $\sigma_{\delta^{13}C}$  is the residual standard deviation, which is allowed to be different before and after the ontogenetic shift. A logarithmic transformation is used to guarantee positive values for all  $K_j^{\delta^{13}C}$ or  $K_j^{\delta^{15}N}$ . We implicitly assume that only the consumer, not its prey, can experience an isotopic shift, but the model cannot be used to distinguish between these two alternatives (Matthews & Mazunder, 2004).

- <sup>147</sup> The individual coefficients  $a_{k \in [1:4], j}$  are assumed to be exchangeable and drawn from a
- multivariate normal distribution of vector mean  $\alpha_{k_{\in [1;4]}}$  and covariance matrix of dimension 4:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ a_{3} \\ a_{4} \end{pmatrix}_{j} \sim \mathbf{MVN} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{1} \\ \alpha_{2} \\ \alpha_{3} \\ \alpha_{4} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{1}^{2} & \sigma_{1,2} & \sigma_{1,3} & \sigma_{1,4} \\ \sigma_{2,1} & \sigma_{2}^{2} & \sigma_{2,3} & \sigma_{2,4} \\ \sigma_{3,1} & \sigma_{3,2} & \sigma_{3}^{2} & \sigma_{3,4} \\ \sigma_{4,1} & \sigma_{4,2} & \sigma_{4,3} & \sigma_{4}^{2} \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

This formulation allows to directly estimate correlations between parameter of interest via the covariance matrix. For example, one could be interested to assess whether an ontogenetic shift occurs later or earlier depending on the steepness of the slope  $a_{2,j}$ . The interpretation of such correlations would depend on the biology of the studied organism.

<sup>153</sup> The same broken-stick model can be applied to  $\delta^{15}$ N: this model then calls for the estimation of

<sup>154</sup> two independent covariance matrices each of dimension 4: one for  $\delta^{13}$ C and one for  $\delta^{15}$ N <sup>155</sup> (hereafter referred to as 2x4x4). An obvious question is whether ontogenetic shifts in  $\delta^{13}$ C and <sup>156</sup>  $\delta^{15}$ N are simultaneous or correlated. Answering this question requires the estimation of <sup>157</sup> covariance matrix **V** of dimension 8, as represented on Figure 1 (this model is referred to as 8x8 <sup>158</sup> hereafter).

Specifying the covariance structure of a model has generally received less attention than specifying its mean response, but the problem is no less relevant (Pourahmadi, 2010). Estimating a covariance matrix of size greater than 2 is a challenge: in addition to the usual restriction to lie between -1 and 1, correlations are jointly constrained. For example, with a  $3 \times 3$  covariance matrix,  $\rho_{1,2}$  and  $\rho_{1,3}$  can take any value between -1 and 1, but  $\rho_{2,3}$  must then conform to the following constraints for the matrix to be positive-definite and invertible (Budden *et al.*, 2007):

<sup>166</sup> 
$$\rho_{1,2}\rho_{1,3} - \sqrt{(1-\rho_{1,2}^2)(1-\rho_{1,3}^2)} \le \rho_{2,3} \le \rho_{1,2}\rho_{1,3} + \sqrt{(1-\rho_{1,2}^2)(1-\rho_{1,3}^2)}$$

Estimating a matrix such as represented in Figure 1 presents some additional challenges since some elements are constrained to be 0. We opted for a Cholesky decomposition of **V** into a diagonal matrix  $\Gamma$  and a lower triangular matrix *L* with 1s on the diagonal:

$$\mathbf{V} = \Gamma L L^T \Gamma \tag{3}$$

<sup>170</sup> There are several Cholesky decompositions, all of which guarantee positive-definiteness <sup>171</sup> (Pourahmadi, 2007), but equation 3 neatly separates standard deviation ( $\Gamma$ ) and correlation <sup>172</sup> (*LL<sup>T</sup>*) parameters (Barnard *et al.*, 2000; Chen & Dunson, 2003). It becomes possible to force <sup>173</sup> some correlations to be 0 and impose the desired structure for **V**.

<sup>174</sup> In a Bayesian framework, priors need to be specified on each of the parameters. We used

- weakly-informative priors: for parameters on the same scale as the data ( $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_4$ ) we
- <sup>176</sup> used normal priors with a large variance. For the parameter governing the distribution of ages at

ontogenetic shifts, a logarithmic transformation in equation 1 guarantees positive values for all 177  $K_i^{\delta^{13}C}$  or  $K_i^{\delta^{15}N}$ . For the parameter  $\alpha_3$ , we used a Student-*t* prior (with location, scale and 178 degrees of freedom set to 0, 10 and 7 respectively (Gelman et al., 2008)). For modelling V, we 179 used the priors similar to those of Chen & Dunson (2003): independent Half-Normal priors of 180 mean 0 and standard deviation 1.5 for the elements,  $\gamma_{p_{\in [1:8]}}$ , of the diagonal matrix  $\Gamma$ , and 18 independent normal priors of mean 0 and standard deviation 0.5 for the elements,  $\lambda_{p_{\in [2:8]}, q_{< p}}$ , of 182 L. A prior covariance matrix of dimension 4 (8) with such a specification is depicted on Figure 183 S1 (Figure S3). This prior gives reasonable values (that is between 0 and 10) for the variances 184 of the  $a_{i,j}$ , but can be altered depending on the studied organisms. It is also somewhat 185 conservative as most of the probability mass for variance parameters is put on values less than 5. 186 This prior thus reflects skepticism for large differences between individuals. Uniform priors 187 were put on the residual standard deviations (Gelman, 2006). 188

#### **189 2.3 Model Selection**

With hierarchical models, model selection is a challenge and several methods have been 190 suggested, such as DIC (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002; Barnett et al., 2010); but there is currently no 191 consensus (Jordan, 2011). We choose to avoid using the DIC because of drawbacks such as lack 192 of invariance to reparametrization (Spiegelhalter et al. (2002) and the following discussion). In 193 fact, DIC was computed but yielded non-sensical results for the estimated number of parameters 194 when the Cholesky decomposition was used (see Table S2). To select an appropriate model, we 195 focused on Posterior Predictive Checks (Gelman et al., 1996; Berkhof et al., 2003) wherein 196 each fitted model is used to predict (hypothetical) repetitions of the data set. From this 197 hypothetical dataset, we compared an observed summary statistic  $(T_{obs})$  to its predicted values 198  $(\mathbf{T_{rep}})$  and compute a  $p_{value}$ : 199

$$p_{value} = Pr(\mathbf{T_{rep}} > \mathbf{T_{obs}}) \tag{4}$$

Ì

A  $p_{value}$  close to 0.5 tells us of a good fit ( $\mathbf{T_{rep}} \approx \mathbf{T_{obs}}$ ), while an extreme  $p_{value}$  (0 or 1) betrays 200 a major model misfit. We chose the range of observed isotopic values as discrepancy statistics 201 to assess model fit. The rational for choosing the range as a test statistic is the following: if a 202 change-point is necessary to describe the time-series of isotopic measurement, the range of 203 predicted value is likely to be underestimated when fitting a model with no change-point. The 204 tip of the broken stick will be missed by a simple linear regression, hence an underestimation of 205 the range. Posterior Predictive Checks can be used to test whether a broken-stick model is 206 justified or to select a covariance structure. For example, we can compare the covariance 207 structure depicted in Figure 1 with a simpler structure where the matrix is block diagonal with 208 no correlation between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N (that is,  $\rho_{1,5} = \rho_{2,6} = \rho_{3,7} = \rho_{4,8} = 0$  in Figure 1). 209

#### 210 2.4 Checking Model Fit

Once a model has been selected, it is crucial to check model fit (Gelman & Shalizi, 2010). Therefore model fit was assessed for each individual using a goodness-of-fit statistic for non-linear models (Vonesh *et al.*, 1996; Huang *et al.*, 2010). This concordance coefficient is denoted  $r_c$  and varies between -1 and 1, with values  $\leq 0$  betraying a complete lack of fit (Vonesh *et al.*, 1996; Huang *et al.*, 2010). This concordance coefficient assesses the fit of the model at the individual level (Huang *et al.*, 2010), and is computed as follow, with *j* denoting an individual:

$$r_{c_j} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (\mu_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (\delta_{i,j} - \bar{\delta}_j)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} (\mu_{i,j} - \bar{\mu}_j)^2 + n_j (\bar{\delta}_j - \bar{\mu}_j)^2}$$
(5)

218 where 
$$\begin{cases} \mu_{i,j} = a_{1,j} + (Age_{i,j} - K_j) \times \begin{cases} a_{2,j}, & Age_{i,j} \le K_j \\ a_{4,j}, & Age_{i,j} > K_j \end{cases} \\ \bar{\delta}_j = \mathbf{E}(\delta_{i,j}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \delta_{i,j}}{n_j} \\ \bar{\mu}_j = \mathbf{E}(\mu_{i,j}) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \mu_{i,j}}{n_i} \end{cases}$$

 $\bar{\delta}_i$  and  $\bar{\mu}_i$  are the means of the observed and fitted values respectively, while the numerator in 219 equation 5 is the sum of squared-residuals  $\varepsilon_i$  for the  $j^{th}$  individual. In the next section, we will 220 apply the above methodology to a "real-life" case. 221

#### 2.5 **Southern Elephant Seal Data** 222

Teeth were collected from elephant seals that died of natural causes on îles Kerguelen. Canines 223 grow continuously throughout the whole life without closing of the pulp cavity, allowing for age 224 determination (Laws, 1952, 1993). Canines from 47 males and 20 females were analyzed and 225 sampled for isotopic analysis. 18 teeth were sampled on animals that died before a population 226 crash in the 1970s, while the remaining 49 were sampled in the 2000s, after the population had 227 stabilized (Authier et al., 2011). 228

Each tooth was cut longitudinally and observed under diffused light to count growth layers. The 229 alternate pattern of two opaque and two translucent growth layers corresponds to the annual 230 biological cycle of Southern Elephant Seals (Laws, 1952). Translucent bands are enriched in 23 vitamin D and synthesized when seals are ashore to breed and to moult, while opaque ones are 232 synthesized when at sea (Wilske & Arnbom, 1996). Within a year, a Southern Elephant Seal 233 comes onshore to breed, returns to the sea, then comes onshore to moult before another trip at 234 sea. Thus each growth layer was assumed to correspond to one forth of a year (Martin et al., 235 2011). Each growth layer was sampled for 1 mg of bulk dentin using a Micromill<sup>TM</sup> sampler 236 (ISEM, Université de Montpellier 2). Organic matter  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N signatures of the bulk 237 dentine were measured with an elemental analyzer (EA-IRMS, Euro-Vector EA 3000) coupled 238

to a continuous flow mass spectrometer (Optima-Micromass) at the Université de Montpellier 2. 239 As a recent study raised concerns about non-linear offsets of organic %C, %N and  $\frac{C}{N}$  after acid 240 treatment (Brodie et al., 2011), we forwent any acid (or demineralization) treatment prior to 241 isotopic measurement. As a result, the measured  $\delta^{13}C$  is a mixture of organic carbon with a 242 small amount of inorganic carbon. To test the impact of the inorganic fraction, Martin et al. 243 (2011) compared acid-treated and untreated samples but found no differences ( $\pm 0.02\%$ ). 244 Schulting *et al.* (2008) found similar  $\frac{C}{N}$  ratios between bulk dentin and collagen, with a lower 245 carbon and nitrogen contents in bulk dentin most likely due to the mineral fraction. Here we 246 assumed that the impact of the mineral fraction is negligible. If not, relative trends (see Results) 247 should be unaffected under the assumption of a systematic bias. 248

Stable isotopic signatures are presented in the usual  $\delta$  notation (in ‰) relative to Pee Dee 240 Belemnite and atmospheric N<sub>2</sub> for  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N respectively. Typical precisions for isotopic 250 measurement were 0.20 ‰ for both carbon and nitrogen. We used  $\frac{C}{N}$  ratio thresholds of bone 25 and tooth collagen (2.9 to 3.6) as criteria for the identification of diagenetic alteration 252 (Ambrose, 1990); assuming that total dentin, whose organic phase is mainly collagen and water 253 (Moyes & Doidge, 1984), has the same  $\frac{C}{N}$  ratio than bone and tooth collagen. 1,590 samples 254 were analyzed, but 176 were discarded because of anomalous  $\frac{C}{N}$  ratios, yielding a final sample 255 size of 1,414 (1,115 from males and 299 from females) analyses from 67 individuals (47 males 256 and 20 females). The first  $\delta^{15}$ N value of each time-series was also removed as it is clearly a 257 reflection of maternal diet (Hobson & Sease, 1998; Martin et al., 2011). Summary statistics of 258 the data are available in Table S1 and depicted in Figure S2. It should be stressed that females 259 are under-represented in this data set, and that samples collected from dead females on beaches 260 were biased toward young females. Thus time-series of isotopic measurement were usually 26 shorter for females (Table S1). We fitted the model defined by equation 1 to these data. 262 To answer questions about any differences between males and females, or between animals 263 living before and after the population crash, we can easily modify the hierarchical change-point 264

model defined by equation 1 by further specifying that the vector of means ( $\alpha_{k_{\in [1:4]}}$ ) depends on the sex of seals and whether they lived *before* or *after* the population crash:

$$a_{k \in [1:4]}, j = \alpha_{1,k} + \alpha_{2,k} * \operatorname{Sex}_j + \alpha_{3,k} * \operatorname{Crash}_j + \eta_{k,j}$$

where the individual-level residuals  $\eta_{k,j}$  are drawn from a multivariate normal distribution of mean 0 and covariance matrix V (see equation 3).

#### 270 2.6 Software

All models were fitted with *winBUGS* (Spiegelhalter *et al.*, 2003) called from R (R

Development Core Team, 2009) with the package R2WinBUGS (Sturtz et al., 2005). We used 272 normal priors for regression parameter on the natural scale and Student priors with 7 degrees of 273 freedom (Gelman et al., 2008) for regression parameters on the log scale. Three chains were 274 initialized with overdispersed starting values. After appropriate burn-in (200,000 iterations) and 275 thinning of the chains (1 value every 200 iterations stored), convergence was assessed using the 276 Gelman-Rubin convergence diagnostic (Cowles & Carlin, 1996) with the coda package 277 (Plummer et al., 2008). Posterior mean (or median when posterior distributions were 278 asymmetric) with 95% Highest Probability Density (HPD) intervals are reported as 279 2.5% Mean<sub>97.5%</sub> following Louis & Zeger (2009). Inferences are based on a posterior sample of 280 3,000 iterations. Annotated BUGS code is available in the Appendix, along with an R script and 281 a simulated data set. 282

### 283 **3 Results**

#### **3.1** Model Selection and Fit

<sup>285</sup> A hierarchical change-point model provided an adequate fit to the elephant seal isotopic data <sup>286</sup> (Figures 2 & 3). Ontogenetic shifts in  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values were generally supported, except

for short time-series and a few individuals. The broken-stick model provided a better fit than a 28 null model with no change-point. The model with the most complex covariance structure (8x8 288 model) did not greatly improve predictive ability (Table 1). Moreover, the estimated 289 correlations  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N were small, with a posterior mean of  $\approx 0.1$  in absolute magnitude 290 (Figure 1). Results from the hierarchical model with no correlation between  $\delta^{13}C$  and  $\delta^{15}N$  are 29 thus reported, although results from the other hierarchical model were very similar. There was 292 no statistical support for distinguishing between sexes or between individuals sampled before or 293 after the population crash (Supplementary Figures 4 & 5): the posterior distribution of 294 regression coefficients for both factors was as diffuse as that of its prior and included 0. 295

#### **3.2** Ontogenetic Shifts

Results for the selected hierarchical change point model are summarized in Tables 2 & 3. The 297 residual variances for both isotopes were larger before the ontogenetic shift (Table 2). We found 298 individual heterogeneity in all four parameters  $a_{k \in [1,4]}$ : all variance components were well 290 estimated (Table 3, Supplementary Figure 3). The estimated age at ontogenetic shift was larger 300 for  $\delta^{13}$ C values (3.2 years) than for  $\delta^{15}$ N values (1.9 years, Table 2). This difference was 301 statistically significant at the 5% level.  $\delta^{13}$ C values at ontogenetic shifts were more variable 302 than  $\delta^{15}$ N values, but the variability in age at ontogenetic shift was similar for the two elements 303 (Table 3). There is a sign reversal in slopes before and after the ontogenetic shift in both carbon 304 and nitrogen isotopes (Table 2): the slope was positive and then negative for  $\delta^{13}$ C and the 305 opposite for  $\delta^{15}$ N. Slopes were more variable before than after the ontogenetic shift for both 306  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Table 3). There was respectively a small and no correlation between 307 slopes before and after the change-point in  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Figure 1). 308

# **309 4 Discussion**

#### **4.1** Southern Elephant Seal Foraging Ecology

Using as an example the Southern Elephant Seal, a species with a cryptic life-style, we analyzed 31 stable isotope data with a hierarchical change-point model to draw inferences on its foraging 312 habits and its trophic level. Despite the on-going "biologging" revolution, some questions are 313 still not easily addressed with miniaturized tags (Hebblewhite & Haydon, 2010). For example, 314 equipping a large enough (in the statistical sense) sample of individuals with expensive data 315 recorders that may be lost is usually not an option. For this reason, carbon and nitrogen stable 316 isotopes are no longer studied in ecology as a complementary "side-kick" to biologging, but in 317 their own right (Newsome et al., 2007; Wolf et al., 2009). We were interested in inferring the 318 foraging behaviour of Southern Elephant Seal using repeated measurements of dentin  $\delta^{13}$ C and 319  $\delta^{15}$ N values over the whole life of individuals. Using a hierarchical change-point model, we 320 estimated ontogenetic change-points in both foraging habitats and in trophic level, and found 321 that there was individual variability in both the trajectory and timing of shifts. 322

Our modelling approach proved fruitfull to investigate some aspects of the ecology of Southern 323 Elephant Seals. In particular, our selected model answered all five questions we asked. After a 324 juvenile stage characterized by a large residual variance, Southern elephant seals became 325 faithfull to a foraging strategy. Inferences drawn from longitudinal isotopic data are in 326 agreement with those of biologging studies (Bradshaw et al., 2004), but the former involved a 327 larger sample over a longer time-period than the latter. This commitment to a foraging strategy 328 occurred at an early age, on average at about 3 years, but there was substantial individual 329 heterogeneity (Table 3, Figures 2, S6 & S7). An ontogenetic shift in  $\delta^{15}$ N was also detected, but 330 this shift occurred earlier (around 1.9 year-old on average). 331

The ontogenetic shifts we identified can be the result of several processes, such as complete independence from maternal resources acquired before weaning (Hobson & Sease, 1998;

Polischuk et al., 2001) or a shift in foraging habitat (interfrontal versus Antarctic waters) and 334 trophic level (Bailleul et al., 2010). If the estimated shift solely resulted from a decay of 335 maternal resources, we would not expect a difference in residual variances before and after a 336 shift. In the case of Southern Elephant Seals, not only residual variances, but also slope 337 variances were larger before the shift (Tables 2 & 3). This pattern may be interpreted as an 338 individual switching from a very variable state to a more stable one, or in other words for 339 carbon isotopes, in seals becoming faithfull to a foraging strategy. The posterior mean for the 340 marginal slope after the ontogenetic shift was negative, which we interpreted as individuals 341 foraging in Antarctic waters. These seals have to haul out on îles Kerguelen for reproduction 342 and moulting, and they are very likely to feed on the way (Thums et al., 2011), thus diluting a 343 'pure'' Antarctic signature for  $\delta^{13}$ C. Hence a negative slope, as the Antarctic signal becomes 344 preponderant over the years. The estimated individual variability showed that some slopes after 345 the shift were null or slightly positive, which can be a reflection of seals foraging always in the 346 same water mass, for example, in pelagic waters of the Polar Front (Bailleul et al., 2010). 347 Finally, a few individuals had a large positive slope before the shift and a shift late in life. The 348 large positive slope before the shift may be a reflection of seals foraging on the Kerguelen 349 Plateau (Bailleul *et al.*, 2010), which has an enriched  $\delta^{13}$ C signature compared to pelagic water 350 masses (Cherel & Hobson, 2007); before switching to an alternative strategy. 35 Concerning trophic level inferred from  $\delta^{15}$ N values, the shift occurred on average earlier than 352 for the  $\delta^{13}$ C data (Table 2). Slopes before the shift were negative, yet they reversed sign after. 353 Their magnitude also halved before and after the shift, with very few individual variability left 354

after the shift (Table 3). This pattern suggested the shift in  $\delta^{15}$ N values to mostly reflect the 355 gradual decay of maternal influence on  $\delta^{15}$ N (Hobson & Sease, 1998), followed by a gradual 356

elevation in the trophic web as seals grew in size. Growth is indeterminate in these seals: they 357

keep growing until their death although growth is very slow in adults (McLaren, 1993). This continuous growth means that older seals can physically catch bigger preys, which may explain

358

why we observed a gradual elevation in trophic levels. Additionally, the large energy stores males must accumulate before the breeding season may also drive a shift toward large and energetically profitable preys. Residual variances were also larger before than after the shift but the decrease was not as dramatic as for  $\delta^{13}$ C values (Table 2). Thus this shift may mostly reflect complete independence from maternal inputs.

This pattern of an elevation in trophic level with age (Figure 2) does not conflict with blood 365 isotopic data for males, but was not expected for females: in a previous study, Bailleul et al. 366 (2010) collected blood samples on juvenile males and on adult females. This study evidenced 367 an elevation in  $\delta^{15}$ N with increasing snout-to-tail length, a proxy for age, only in juvenile males. 368 This discrepancy probably results from the imbalance of the female data compared to males: 369 few time-series for females spanned more than 4 years (Table S1, Figures S6 & S7). The limited 370 number time-series spanning more than 4 years means that the male pattern largely dominates 371 the population-level pattern in our hierarchical model. Thus blood isotopic data is more reliable 372 to infer the female pattern (Bailleul et al., 2010), although the dentin isotopic analysis suggested 373 that a few females too underwent an elevation in trophic position as they aged (that is, 374 individuals with increasing slope after the ontogenetic shift; Figures 2, S6 & S7). 375

#### **376** 4.2 Modelling strategy

The explicit modelling of correlations between parameters governing a broken-stick model for 377 both  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values allowed us to investigate whether ontogenetic shifts in foraging 378 habitat and trophic level were concomitant. There was a very small positive correlation between 379 the ages at shift. The explicit incorporation of this correlation into the model did not 380 substantially improve its predictive ability for  $\delta^{13}$ C or for  $\delta^{15}$ N values (Table 1). There seemed 381 to be such a large variability in individual trajectories of foraging strategy and trophic level in 382 this population that there is no meaningfull 'average'  $\delta^{13}$ C profile associated with an 'average' 383  $\delta^{15}N$  profile. 384

Finally, the hierarchical modelling approach enabled us to assess whether there were differences between sexes and between seals living before and after a population crash. The data at hand suggested none (Figures S4 and S5), but the Bayesian framework is explicit about inferences being drawn conditional on the observed data. Thus, failure to detect any differences in this peculiar data set may stem for the imbalance between males and females (respectively 70% *versus* 30% of seals), and between animals living before and after the population crash (respectively 28% *versus* 72% of seals).

We believe that the piecewise linear formulation of our change-point model is biologically 392 sound for this species since the change-points reflect life-history events such as complete 393 independence from maternal resources or commitment to a foraging strategy. This assumed 394 model suggested gradual changes after a shift (non-null slopes), which we deemed to be 395 reasonable with longitudinal isotopic data. The interpretation of isotopic data in ecology 396 crucially depends on the rate of tissue turn-over/synthesis, and the accuracy (not the precision) 397 of isotopic data can be quite crude depending on the sampled tissue. Turn-over rates may be 398 very short for some tissues (for example blood plasma), but one order of magnitude larger for 399 others (for example claws) (Carleton et al., 2008). These rates also scale with body mass 400 (Carleton & Martinez del Rio, 2005), which may allow to use experimentally-estimated rates 401 from one species on similar-sized species. However, this is still somewhat of a blackbox for 402 wild animals (Wolf et al., 2009). 403

Assumptions are unavoidable, but the Bayesian framework is very flexible, allowing to fit
models to peculiar data sets rather than "adjusting the data to fit the model". The broken-stick
model we assumed reasonable for Southern Elephant Seal need not be so for other species.
With little modification in the prior specification of the covariance matrix, non-linear functional
responses such as a logistic curve, which also has 4 parameters, can be easily fitted. However, a
logistic curve carries also assumptions such as symmetry and asymptotic isotopic values at the
end of the time scale. Finally, the broken-stick model was useful for estimating individual shifts

for Southern Elephant Seals, but it did not accommodate cyclic-patterns discernible during the
first years in some individuals (Figure S6). The broken-stick model lumped these cycles into a
residual variance which was larger in early life compared to late life.

# **414 5 Conclusions**

Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analyses are a powerful technique to peek into the ecology 415 of cryptic species: even a cursory glance at the plethora of studies using this technique cannot 416 fail to notice how often "stable isotopes revealed" biological surprises. The technique is hailed 417 as powerful, which it is even more so conditional on using statistical analyses specifically 418 designed to investigate a particular question (see for example Hénaux et al. (2011)). Here, we 419 presented a hierarchical model to investigate individual patterns of ontogenetic shifts in 420 foraging habitat and trophic level (Werner & Gilliam, 1984). The most important aspect of the 42 model is not the specification of the mean response, which can readily be modified to conform 422 to the biology of the studied species, but of the covariance structure. The methodology we 423 outlined can be useful for researchers interested in drawing inferences at the individual level 424 (Cooch et al., 2002; Semmens et al., 2009). Bayesian methods allow to fit with relative ease 425 complex models, and thereby to accommodate the (usually complex) structure of ecological 426 data (Ellison, 2004; Clark, 2005). This move towards Bayesian methods is not confined to 427 ecology (Link & Barker, 2009; O'Hara et al., 2008) or even the biological sciences (Treier & 428 Jackman, 2008; Wainer, 2010). Rather, it stems for a growing realization that uncertainties need 429 to be quantified and to flow freely across different levels of an analysis to avoid overconfident 430 claims. As more data become available, more complex models can also be fit to refine our 431 knowledge (Gelman & Shalizi, 2010). The modelling approach outlined here can be further 432 extended to incorporate, for example, a survival analysis (Guo & Carlin, 2004; Horrocks & van 433 Den Heuvel, 2009; Vonesh et al., 2006) of Southern Elephant Seals to assess the life-history 434

435 consequences of a foraging strategy; thereby harnessing the power of stable isotope analyses.

# **436 6 Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank all volunteers who helped collecting teeth from dead animals found on 437 îles Kerguelen. We thank the Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle (Paris) for kindly 438 providing teeth collected before the population crash. We thank Hubert Vonhof and Els Ufkes 439 for discussing the results. We are also indebted to Christophe Barbraud, Emmanuelle Cam, 440 Luca Börger, two anonymous reviewers and the associate editor for helpful and constructive 441 comments that greatly improved the manuscript. This study is part of a national research 442 program (no. 109, H. Weimerskirch and the observatory Mammifères Explorateurs du Milieu 443 Océanique, MEMO SOERE CTD 02) supported by the French Polar Institute (Institut Paul 444 Emile Victor, IPEV). The Territoire des Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises (TAAF), 445 the TOTAL Foundation and ANR-VMC 07 IPSOS-SEAL program contributed to this study. The 446 ethics committee of the French Polar Institute (IPEV) approved this study. All animals in this 447 study were cared for in accordance with its guidelines. 448

# **References**

- Ambrose, S. (1990) Preparation and Characterization of Bone and Tooth Collagen for Isotopic
   Analysis. *Journal of Archaeological Science*, **17**, 431–451.
- Authier, M., Delord, K. & Guinet, C. (2011) Population Trends of Female Elephant Seals
  Breeding on the Courbet Peninsula, îles kerguelen. *Polar Biology*, **34**(3), 319–328, doi:
  10.1007/s00300-010-0881-1.
- Bailleul, F., Authier, M., Ducatez, S., Roquet, F., Charassin, J.B., Cherel, Y. & Guinet, C.
  (2010) Looking at the Unseen: Combining Bio-logging and Stable Isotopes to Reveal a
  Shift in the Ecological Niche of a Deep-Diving Predator. *Ecography*, **33**(4), 709–719, doi:
  10.1111/j.16000-0587.2009.06034.x.
- Barnard, J., McCulloch, R. & Meng, X. (2000) Modeling Covariance Matrices in Terms of
  Standard Deviations and Correlations, with Application to Shrinkage. *Statistica Sinica*, 10,
  1281–1311.
- <sup>462</sup> Barnett, A., Koper, N., Dobson, A., Schmiegelow, F. & Manseau, M. (2010) Using Information

Criteria to Select the Correct Variance-Covariance Structure for Longitudinal Data in Ecology.

- *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **1**, 15–24, doi:10.1111/j.2041.201X.2009.00009.x.
- Beckage, B., Joseph, L., Belisle, P., Wolfson, D.B. & Platt, W.J. (2007) Bayesian Change-Point
   Analyses in Ecology. *New Phytologist*, **174**, 456–467, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.01991.x.

- <sup>468</sup> for Biochemical Markers Illustrated by Tracking Postradiotherapy Prostate-Specific Antigen
- Series in Men with Prostate Cancer. *Annals of Epidemiology*, **18**(4), 270–282, doi:10.1016/j.
- annepidem.2007.10.006.

463

471 Bentaleb, I., Fontugne, M., Descolas-Gros, C., Girardin, C., Mariotti, A., Pierre, C., Brunet,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>467</sup> Bellera, C., Hanley, J., Joseph, L. & Albertsen, P. (2008) Hierarchical Changepoint Models

472	C. & Poisson, A. (1998) Carbon Isotopic Fractionation by Phytoplankton in the Southern In-
473	dian Ocean: Relationship between $\delta^{13}$ C of Particulate Organic Carbon and Dissolved Carbon
474	Dioxide. Journal of Marine Systems, 17, 39–58, doi:10.1534/genetics.110.119305.
475	Berkhof, J., van Mechelen, I. & Gelman, A. (2003) A Bayesian Approach to the Selection and
476	Testing of Mixture Models. Statistica Sinica, 13, 423–442.
477	Biuw, M., Boehme, L., Guinet, C., Hindell, M., Costa, D., Charassin, J.B., Roquet, F., Meredith,
478	M., Thorpe, S., Tremblay, Y., McDonald, B., Park, Y.H., Rintoul, S., Bindoff, N., Goebel,
479	M., Crocker, D., Lovell, P., Nicholson, J., Monks, F. & Fedak, M. (2007) Variations in Be-
480	haviour and Condition of a Southern Ocean Top Predator in Relation to in situ Oceanographic
481	Conditions. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</i> , <b>104</b> (34), 13705–13710.
482	Bradshaw, C., Hindell, M., Sumner, M. & Michael, K. (2004) Loyalty Pays: Potential Life
483	History Consequences of Fidelity to Marine Foraging Regions by Southern Elephant Seals.
484	Animal Behaviour, 68, 1349–1360, doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.12.013.
485	Brodie, C., Leng, M., Casford, J., Kendrick, C., Lloyd, J., Yongqiang, Z. & Bird, M. (2011) Evi-
486	dence for Bias in C and N Concentrations and $\delta^{13}$ c Composition of Terrestrial and Aquatic Or-
487	ganic Materials Due to Pre-Analysis Acid Preparation Methods. Chemical Geology, 282(3-4),
488	67-83, doi:DOI:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2011.01.007, URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/
489	science/article/pii/S0009254111000222.
490	Budden, M., Havadas, P., Hoffman, L. & Pretz, C. (2007) Generating Valid 4 × 4 Correlation
491	Matrices. Applied Mathematics E-Notes, 7, 53–59.
492	Carleton, S., Kelly, L., Anderson-Sprecher, R. & Martinez del Rio, C. (2008) Should We Use

One-,or Multi-Compartement Models to Describe <sup>13</sup>c Incorporation Into Animal Tissues?
 *Rapid Communications in Mass Spectrophotometry*, **22**, 3008–3014.

- <sup>495</sup> Carleton, S. & Martìnez del Rio, C. (2005) The Effect of Cold-Induced Increased Metabolic Rate <sup>496</sup> on the Rate of  ${}^{13}c$  and  ${}^{15}n$  Incorporation in House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *Oecologia*, <sup>497</sup> **144**, 226–232, doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0066-8.
- <sup>498</sup> Chen, Z. & Dunson, D. (2003) Random Effects Selection in Linear Mixed Models. *Biometrics*,
  <sup>499</sup> **59**(4), 762–769.
- <sup>500</sup> Cherel, Y. & Hobson, K. (2007) Geographical Variation in the Carbon Stable Isotope Signa <sup>501</sup> tures of Marine Predators: a Tool to Investigate Their Foraging Areas in the Southern Ocean.
   <sup>502</sup> Marine Ecology Progress Series, **329**, 281–287.
- <sup>503</sup> Clark, J. (2005) Why Environmental Scientists are Becoming Bayesians. *Ecology Letters*, **8**, <sup>504</sup> 2–14.
- <sup>505</sup> Cooch, E., Cam, E. & Link, W. (2002) Occam's Shadow: Levels of Analysis in Evolutionary<sup>506</sup> Ecology Where to Next? *Journal of Applied Statistics*, **29**(1 & 4), 19–48, doi:10.1080/
  <sup>507</sup> 02664760120108421.
- <sup>508</sup> Cowles, M. & Carlin, B. (1996) Markov Chain Monte Carlo Convergence Diagnostics: a Com-<sup>509</sup> parative Review. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **91**(434), 883–904.
- <sup>510</sup> Da-Silva, C., Gomes, A., Martins, E., Bonato, V. & dos Reis, S. (2008) A Bayesian Change <sup>511</sup> Point Model for Describing Partial Semelparity of a Neotropical Didelphid Marsupial. *Review* <sup>512</sup> of Brazilian Biometry, 26(4), 31–44.
- <sup>513</sup> Drago, M., Cardona, L., Crespo, E. & Aguilar, A. (2009) Ontogenic Dietary Changes in South
  <sup>514</sup> American Sea Lions. *Journal of Zoology*, **279**, 251–261, doi:10.111/j.1469-7998.2009.00613.
  <sup>515</sup> X.
- Ellison, A. (2004) Bayesian Inference in Ecology. *Ecology Letters*, **7**, 509–520.

- Estrada, J., Rice, A., Natanson, L. & Skomal, G. (2006) Use of Isotopic Analysis of Vertebrae
   in Reconstructing Ontogenetic Feeding Ecology in White Sharks. *Ecology*, 87(4), 829–834.
- France, R. (1995) <sup>13</sup>carbon Enrichment in Benthic Compared to Planktonic Algae: Foodweb
   Implications. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, **124**, 307–312.
- 521 Fry, B. (2006) Stable Isotope Ecology, 1st edn. Springer.
- Gelman, A. (2006) Prior Distributions for Variance Parameters in Hierarchical Models (comment
   on Article by Browne and Draper). *Bayesian Analysis*, 1(3), 515–534.
- 524 Gelman, A., Jakulin, A., Grazia Pittau, M. & Su, Y.S. (2008) A Weakly Informative Default
- Prior Distribution for Logistic and Other Regression Models. *The Annals of Applied Statistics*,
  2(4), 1360–1383.
- Gelman, A., Meng, X.L. & Stern, H. (1996) Posterior Predictive Assessment of Model Fitness
   via Realized Discrepancies. *Statistica Sinica*, 6, 733–807.
- 529 Gelman, A. & Shalizi, C. (2010) Philosophy and the Practice of Bayesian Statistics. Working
- <sup>530</sup> *paper*, Department of Statistics and Department of Political Science, Columbia University,
- 531 URL http://www.stat.columbia.edu/~gelman/research/unpublished/philosophy. 532 pdf.
- Ghosh, P. & Vaida, F. (2007) Random Changepoint Modelling of HIV Immunologic Responses.
   *Statistics in Medicine*, 26, 2074–2087, doi:10.1002/sim.2671.
- Graham, B., Grubbs, D., Holland, K. & Popp, B. (2007) A Rapid Ontogenetic Shift in
  the Diet of Juvenile Yellowfin Tuna from Hawaii. *Marine Biology*, **150**, 647–658, doi:
  10.1007/s00227-006-0360-y.
- Graham, M. (2001) Statistical Significance versus Fit: Estimating the Importance of Individual
   Factors in Ecological Analysis of Variance. *Oikos*, **93**(3), 505–513.

- Guo, X. & Carlin, B. (2004) Separate and Joint Modeling of Longitudinal and Event Time
  Data Using Standard Computer Packages. *The American Statistician*, 58(1), 1–9, doi:10.1198/
  0003130042854.
- Hall, C., Lipton, R., Sliwinski, M. & Stewart, W. (2000) A Change Point Model for Estimating
  the Onset of Cognitive Decline in Preclinical Alzheimer's Disease. *Statistics in Medicine*, 19, 1555–1566.
- Hebblewhite, M. & Haydon, D. (2010) Distinguishing Technology from Biology: a Critical
  Review of the Use of GPS Telemetry Data in Ecology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society London series B*, 365, 2303–2312, doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0087.
- <sup>549</sup> Hénaux, V., Powell, L., Hobson, K., Nielsen, C. & LaRue, M. (2011) Tracking Large Carnivore
   <sup>550</sup> Dispersal using Isotopic Clues in Claws: an Application to Cougars across the Great Plains.
   <sup>551</sup> *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, doi:10.1111/j.2041-210X.2011.00107.x.
- Hobson, K., Piatt, J. & Pitocchelli, J. (1994) Using Stable Isotopes to Determine Seabird Trophic
   Relationships. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 63(4), 786–798.
- <sup>554</sup> Hobson, K. & Sease, J. (1998) Stable Isotope Analyses of Tooth Annuli Reveal Temporal Dietary
- Records: an Example Using Steller Sea Lions. *Marine Mammal Science*, **14**(1), 116–129.
- <sup>556</sup> Hobson, K., Wassenaar, L. & Taylor, O. (1999) Stable Isotope ( $\delta d$  and  $\delta^{13}c$ ) are Geographic
- Indicators of Natal Origins of Monarch Butterflies in Eastern North America. *Oecologia*, 120,
   397–404.
- <sup>559</sup> Horrocks, J. & van Den Heuvel, M. (2009) Prediction of Pregnancy: a Joint Model for Longitu dinal and Binary Data. *Bayesian Analysis*, 4(3), 523–538, doi:10.1214/09-BA419.
- Huang, S., Meng, S. & Yang, Y. (2010) Assessing the Goodness of Fit of Forest Models Es-

- timated by Nonlinear Mixed-Model methods. *Canadian Journal of Forestry Research*, 39,
   2418–2436, doi:10.1139/X09-140.
- Jaeger, A., Lecomte, V., Weimerskirch, H., Richard, P. & Cherel, Y. (2010) Seabird Satellite Tracking Validates the Use of Latitudinal Isoscapes to Depict Predators'Foraging Areas in the Southern Ocean. *Rapid Communication in Mass Spectrophotometry*, **24**, 3456–3460, doi: 10.1002/rcm.4792.
- Jordan, M. (2011) What Are the Open Problems in Bayesian Statistics? *The ISBA Bulletin*, **18**(1), 1–4, URL www.bayesian.org.
- <sup>570</sup> Kelly, J. (2000) Stable Isotopes of Carbon and Nitrogen in the Study of Avian and Mammalian
- Trophic Ecology. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **78**, 1–27.
- Laws, R. (1952) A New Method of Age Determination for Mammals. *Nature*, 169(4310), 972–
   974.
- Laws, R. (1993) Antarctic Seals. Research Methods and Techniques. Cambridge University
  Press, chap. 11 Age Determination. pp. 199–227.
- Link, W. & Barker, R. (2009) *Bayesian Inference with Ecological Applications*. Associated Press,
- chap. Individual Fitness. pp. 271–286.
- Louis, T. & Zeger, S. (2009) Effective Communication of Standard Error and Confidence Interval. *Biostatistics*, **10**(1), 1–2.
- Lunn, W., A., T., Best, N. & Spiegelhalter, D. (2000) WinBUGS a Bayesian Modelling Frame-
- work: Concept, Structure, and Extensibility. *Statistics and Computing*, **10**, 325–337.
- MacKenzie, K., Palmer, R., Moore, A., Ibbotson, A., Beaumont, W., Poulter, D. & Trueman, C.
- (2011) Locations of Marine Animals Revealed by Carbon Isotopes. *Nature Scientific Reports*,
- <sup>584</sup> **1**(21), 1–6, doi:10.1038/srep00021.

585	Martin, C., Bentaleb, I., Steedlandt, S. & Guinet, C. (2011) Stable Carbon and Nitrogen Isotope
586	Variations in Canine Dentin Growth Layers of Kerguelen Southern Elephant Seals. Marine
587	Ecology Progress Series, XX, accepted.

- Martìnez Abraìn, A. (2010) Statistical Significance and Biological Relevance: a Call for a more 588 Cautious Interpretation of Results in Ecology. Acta Oecologica, 34, 9–11, doi:10.1016/j.actao. 589 02.004. 590
- Matthews, B. & Mazunder, A. (2004) A Critical Evaluation of Intrapopulation Variation of  $\delta^{13}C$ 591 and Isotopic Evidence of Individual Specialization. Oecologia, 140, 361–371. 592
- McIntyre, T., de Bruyn, P., Ansorge, I., Bester, M., Bornemann, H., Plötz, J. & Tosh, C. (2010) 593
- A Lifetime at Depth: Vertical Distribution of Southern Elephant Seals in the Water Column. 594 Polar Biology, 33(8), 1037–1048, doi:10.1007/s00300-010-0782-3. 595
- McLaren, I. (1993) Growth in Pinnipeds. *Biological Reviews*, 68, 1–79. 596
- Mendes, S., Newton, J., Reid, R., Zuur, A. & Pierce, G. (2007) Stable Carbon and Nitrogen 597 Isotope Ratio Profiling of Sperm Whale Teeth Reveals Ontogenetic Movements and Trophic 598 Ecology. Oecologia, 151, 605–615. 590
- Moyes, A. & Doidge, D. (1984) Composition of the Mineral Phase of Dentin in Southern Ele-600 phant Seal and Antarctic Fur Seal Teeth. British Antarctic Survey Bulletin, 64, 81-84. 601
- Muniz-Terrera, G., van den Hout, A. & Matthews, F. (2011) Random Change Point Models: 602 Investigating Cognitive Decline in the Presence of Missing Data. Statistics in Medicine, 30, 603 599-610, doi:10.1002/sim.4127. 604
- Newsome, S., Etnier, M., Monson, D. & Fogel, M. (2009) Retrospective Characterization of 605 Ontogenic Shifts in Killer Whale Diets via  $\delta^{13}c$  and  $\delta^{15}n$  Analysis of Teeth. Marine Ecology 606 Progress Series, 374, 229-242, doi:10.3354/meps07747.

- Newsome, S., Martininez del Rio, C., Bearhop, S. & Phillips, D. (2007) A Niche for Isotopic
   Ecology. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 5(8), 429–436, doi:10.1890/060150.01.
- O'Hara, R., Cano, J., Ovaskainen, O., Teplitsky, C. & Alho, J. (2008) Bayesian Approaches in
   Evolutionary Quantitative Genetics. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 21, 949–957.
- Peterson, P. & Fry, B. (1987) Stable Isotopes in Ecosystem Studies. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 18, 293–320.
- Petrovskii, S., Mashanova, A. & Jansen, V. (2011) Variation in Individual Walking Behavior
   Creates the Impression of a Lévy Flight. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 108(21), 8704–8707, doi:10.1073/pnas.1015208108.
- Phillips, D. & Eldridge, P. (2006) Estimating the Timing of Diet Shifts Using Stable Isotopes.
   Oecologia, 147, 195–203, doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0292-0.
- <sup>619</sup> Platt, J. (1964) Strong Inference. Science, **146**(3642), 347–353.
- Plummer, M., Best, N., Cowles, K. & Vines, K. (2008) *coda: Output Analysis and Diagnostics for MCMC*. R package version 0.13-2.
- Polischuk, S., Hobson, K. & Ramsay, M. (2001) Use of Stable-Carbon and -Nitrogen Isotopes
   to Assess Weaning and Fasting in Female Polar Bears and their Cubs. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **79**, 499–511, doi:10.1139/cjz-79-3-499.
- Popa-Lisseanu, A., Delgano-Huertas, A., Forero, M., Rodríguez, A., Arlettaz, R. & Ibánez, C.
- (2007) Bats' Conquest of a Formidable Foraging Niche: the Myriads of Nocturnally Migrating
- <sup>627</sup> Songbirds. *PLoS One*, **2**(2), e205, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000205.g002.
- Post, D. (2002) Using Stable Isotopes to Estimate Trophic Position: Models, Methods and Assumptions. *Ecology*, **83**, 703–718.

- Post, D. (2003) Individual Variation in the Timing of Ontogenic Niche Shifts in Largemouth 630 Bass. Ecology, 84(5), 1298–1310. 631
- Pourahmadi, M. (2007) Choleski Decompositions and Estimation of a Covariance Matrix: Or-632 thogonality of Variance-Correlation Parameters. Biometrika, 94(4), 1006–1013, doi:10.1093/ 633 biomet/asm073. 634
- Pourahmadi, M. (2010) Covariance Estimation: the GLM and Regularization Perspectives. Tech-635 nical report, URL www.stat.tamu.edu/~pourahm/STATSCIR.pdf. 636

R Development Core Team (2009) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R 637 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, URL http://www.R-project.org. 638 ISBN 3-900051-07-0. 639

- Rau, G., Riebesell, U. & Wolf-Gladrow, D. (1996) A Model of Photosynthetic <sup>13</sup>C Fraction-640 ation by Marine Phytoplankton based on Diffusive Molecular CO<sub>2</sub> Uptake. Marine Ecology 641 Progress Series, 133, 275–285. 642
- Rau, G., Sweeney, R. & Kaplan, I. (1982) Plankton  $\frac{{}^{13}C}{{}^{12}C}$  ratio Changes with Latitude: Differences 643 between Northern and Southern Oceans. *Deep Sea Research Part I*, **29**(8A), 1035–1039. 644
- Rau, G., Takahashi, T. & Des Marais, D. (1989) Latitudinal Variation in Plankton  $\delta^{13}c$ : Implica-645 tions for co2 and Productivity in Past Oceans. Nature, 341, 516-518. 646
- Reich, K., Bjorndal, K. & Bolten, A. (2007) The "Lost Years" of Green Turtles: using Stable Iso-647 topes to Study Cryptic Lifestages. *Biology Letters*, **3**, 712–714, doi:10.1098/rsbl.2007.0394.

- Schoeninger, M. & DeNiro, M. (1984) Nitrogen and Carbon Isotopic Composition of Bone Col-649
- lagen from Marine and Terrestrial Animals. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 48, 625-639. 650
- Schulting, R., Blockley, S., Bocherens, H., Drucker, D. & Richards, M. (2008) Stable Carbon and 651
- Nitrogen Isotope Analysis on Human Remains from the Early Mesolithic Site of La Vergne 652

- (Charente-Maritime, france). Journal of Archeological Science, 35, 763–772, URL 10.1016/
   j.jas.2007.06.008.
- Semmens, B., Ward, E., Moore, J. & Darimont, C. (2009) Quantifying Inter- and Intra-Population
   Niche Variability using Bayesian Hierarchical Stable Isotope Mixing Models. *PLoS One*, 4(7),
   e6187.
- Spiegelhalter, D., Best, T., Best, N. & Lunn, D. (2003) WinBUGS User Manual version 1.4. URL
   http://www.mrc-bsu.cam.ac.uk/bugs/winbugs/contents.shtml.
- <sup>660</sup> Spiegelhalter, D., Best, T., Carlin, B. & van der Linde, A. (2002) Bayesian Measures of Model
- <sup>661</sup> Complexity and Fit (with Discussion). *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B*, **64**,
   <sup>662</sup> 583–640.
- Sturtz, S., Ligges, U. & Gelman, A. (2005) R2winbugs: a Package for Running *WinBUGS* from
   *R. Journal of Statistical Software*, 12(3), 1–16, URL http://www.jstatsoft.org.
- <sup>665</sup> Thums, M., Bradshaw, C. & Hindell, M. (2011) In-Situ Measures of Foraging Success and Prey
- Encounter Reveals Marine Habitat-Dependent Search Strategies. *Ecology*, **92**, 1258–1270, doi:10.1890/09-1299.1.
- Treier, S. & Jackman, S. (2008) Democracy as a Latent Variable. *American Journal of Political Science*, 52(1), 201–217.
- <sup>670</sup> Trull, T. & Armand, L. (2001) Insight into Southern Ocean Carbon Export from the  $\delta^{13}c$  of
- Particles and Dissolved Inorganic Carbon using the SOIREE Iron Release Experiment. *Deep- Sea Research Part II*, 48, 2655–2680.
- <sup>673</sup> Vanderklift, M. & Ponsard, S. (2003) Sources of Variation in Consumer-Diet  $\delta^{15}$ n Enrichment: <sup>674</sup> a Meta-Analysis. *Oecologia*, **136**(2), 169–182, doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1270-z.

- <sup>675</sup> Vonesh, E., Chinchilli, V. & Pu, K. (1996) Goodness-of-fit in Generalized Nonlinear Mixed <sup>676</sup> Effects Models. *Biometrics*, **52**(2), 572–587.
- Vonesh, E., Greene, T. & Schluchter, M. (2006) Shared Parameter Models for the Joint Analysis
  of Longitudinal Data and Event Time. *Statistics in Medicine*, 25, 143–163, doi:10.1002/sim.
  2249.
- Wainer, H. (2010) 14 Conversations About Three Things. Journal of Educational and Be havioural Statistics, 35(5), 5–25, doi:10.3102/1076998609355124.
- Werner, E. & Gilliam, J. (1984) The Ontogenetic Niche and Species Interactions in Size Structured Populations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 15, 393–425.
- West, J., Bowen, G., Cerling, T. & Ehleringer, J. (2006) Stable Isotopes as One of Nature's

Ecological Recorders. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, **21**(7), 408–414.

- Wilske, J. & Arnbom, T. (1996) Seasonal Variation in Vitamin D Metabolites in Southern Ele-
- phant Seals (*Mirounga leonina*) Females at South Georgia. Comparative Biochemistry and
   Physiology A, 114(1), 9–14.
- Wolf, N., Carleton, S. & Martinez del Rio, C. (2009) Ten Years of Experimental Animal Isotopic
- Ecology. Functional Ecology, 23, 17–26, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01529.x.

# 691 7 Tables

Model	$\delta^{13}C$	$\delta^{15}N$
8x8	0.85	0.73
2x4x4	0.84	0.69
Null	0.69	0.58

Table 1: Posterior Predictive Checks. The statistic considered is the range of isotopic values and the reported  $p_{values}$  are the probability that the predicted range exceeds the observed one. The percentage of individuals with a  $0.1 < p_{value} < 0.9$  is reported for both carbon and nitrogen isotopic time-series. Broken-stick models decreased the proportion of individuals with extreme  $p_{values}$ : a broken-stick model was appropriate for most individuals. There was however little support for an increase in covariance complexity: overall changes in  $\delta^{13}$ C were not correlated with changes in  $\delta^{15}$ N.

Domonton	$\delta^{13}C$			$\delta^{15}N$			T La 14
Parameter	2.5%	Mean	97.5%	2.5%	Mean	97.5%	Unit
$\sigma_{\varepsilon,1}$	0.75	0.81	0.86	0.46	0.52	0.57	‰
$\sigma_{\varepsilon,2}$	0.29	0.32	0.35	0.33	0.36	0.39	‰
$\alpha_1$	-18.4	-18.0	-17.6	11.9	12.1	12.3	‰
$\alpha_2$	0.01	0.21	0.43	-0.79	-0.46	-0.13	‰ per year
$lpha_4$	-0.42	-0.24	-0.08	0.11	0.20	0.30	‰ per year
K <sup>δ</sup>	2.2	3.2	4.2	1.3	1.9	2.4	years

Table 2: Estimated marginals from a broken-stick model fit to the Southern Elephant Seal data.  $\sigma_{\varepsilon,1}$  and  $\sigma_{\varepsilon,2}$  are respectively the residual standard deviations before and after the shift;  $\alpha_1$  and  $K^{\delta}$  the isotopic value and age at the shift respectively, and  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_4$  the slopes before and after the shift respectively.

Variance	$\delta^{13}C$			δ <sup>15</sup> N			Internatedian
variance	2.5%	Median	97.5%	2.5%	Median	97.5%	Interpretation
$\alpha_1$	1.81	2.88	4.08	0.46	0.72	1.03	Value at Shift
K <sup>δ</sup>	1.13	1.56	2.29	1.27	1.60	2.17	Age at Shift
$\alpha_2$	0.18	0.31	0.49	0.19	0.48	0.91	Slope before
$\alpha_4$	0.03	0.20	0.41	0.04	0.08	0.13	Slope after

Table 3: Estimated individual-level variances in all 4 parameters governing the broken-stick model fit the Southern Elephant Seal data. Medians are reported instead of means because some posterior distributions were slightly asymmetric.

# **<sup>692</sup> 8 Figure Captions**

Figure 1: Covariance matrix for a joint broken-stick model of  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N values. Light gray squares symbolize free parameters to estimate from the data, whereas squares left blank represent parameters with no biological interpretation that are thus constrained to 0. Estimated mean correlations between  $\delta^{13}$ C and  $\delta^{15}$ N parameters for the Southern Elephant Seal example are shown below the diagonal.

698

Figure 2: Broken-stick model fitted to 4 individual time-series of isotopic measurements. Each row corresponds to a different individual.  $\delta^{13}C$  ( $\delta^{15}N$ ) profiles are depicted on the left (right) panel.  $p_{values}$  of the posterior predictive check are reported on the graph. A  $p_{value}$  close to 0.5 signals a good-fit.

703

Figure 3: Assessing the fit of the selected model (2x4x4). Distributions of individual-level concordance coefficients,  $r_c$  are reported for both  $\delta^{13}$ C (x-axis) and  $\delta^{15}$ N (y-axis) values.

# 706 9 Figures







Figure 2



Figure 3