



# Global conservation translocation perspectives: 2021

Case studies from around the globe

Edited by Pritpal S. Soorae



IUCN SSC Conservation Translocation Specialist Group



# Global conservation translocation perspectives: 2021

Case studies from around the globe

Edited by Pritpal S. Soorae

The designation of geographical entities in this book, and the presentation of the material, do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of IUCN or any of the funding organizations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of IUCN.

IUCN is pleased to acknowledge the support of its Framework Partners who provide core funding: Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark; Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland; Government of France and the French Development Agency (AFD); the Ministry of Environment, Republic of Korea; the Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation (Norad); the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida); the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC) and the United States Department of State.

**Published by:** IUCN SSC Conservation Translocation Specialist Group,  
Environment Agency - Abu Dhabi & Calgary Zoo, Canada.

**Copyright:** © 2021 IUCN, International Union for Conservation of Nature and  
Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-  
commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission  
from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale or other commercial  
purposes is prohibited without prior written permission of the copyright  
holder.

**Citation:** Soorae, P. S. (ed.) (2021). *Global conservation translocation  
perspectives: 2021. Case studies from around the globe*. Gland,  
Switzerland: IUCN SSC Conservation Translocation Specialist Group,  
Environment Agency - Abu Dhabi and Calgary Zoo, Canada. xiv +  
353pp.

**Edition:** 7<sup>th</sup> Edition

**Cover photo:** Clockwise starting from top-left:  
I. Darwin's rhea (*Rhea pennata pennata*) © Cristián Saucedo  
II. Orinoco turtle (*Podocnemis expansa*)  
III. Leopard cat (*Prionailurus bengalensis*) © Mei-Ting Chen  
IV. White saxaul (*Haloxylon persicum*) © EAD  
V. Southern pygmy perch (*Nannoperca australis*) © Michael Hammer

**Cover design  
& layout by:** Pritpal S. Soorae, IUCN SSC Conservation Translocation Specialist  
Group

**Printed by:** Arafah Printing Press LLC, Abu Dhabi, UAE

**Download at:** [www.iucn-ctsg.org](http://www.iucn-ctsg.org)  
[www.iucn.org/resources/publications](http://www.iucn.org/resources/publications)

### **Conservation Translocation Specialist Group**

The IUCN SSC Conservation Translocation Specialist Group (CTSG) aims to 'empower responsible conservation translocations that save species, strengthen ecosystems, and benefit humanity' for a vision of 'a world where courageous action repairs nature's past damage and secures against threats of the future'. CTSG collaborates with others to plan, conduct, or evaluate any conservation programs that involve translocations in the wild, or releases arising from breeding, propagation, or headstarting. Through science, policy, guidance, training, action, and outreach, CTSG can help enable effective reintroductions, reinforcements, assisted colonization, or ecological replacements spanning all terrestrial, freshwater, or marine ecosystems.

[www.iucn-ctsg.org/](http://www.iucn-ctsg.org/)

### **Environment Agency - Abu Dhabi**

Established in 1996, the Environment Agency - Abu Dhabi (EAD) is committed to protecting and enhancing air quality, groundwater as well as the biodiversity of our desert and marine ecosystem. By partnering with other government entities, the private sector, NGOs and global environmental agencies, we embrace international best practice, innovation and hard work to institute effective policy measures. We seek to raise environmental awareness, facilitate sustainable development and ensure environmental issues remain one of the top priorities of our national agenda.

[www.ead.ae/](http://www.ead.ae/)

### **Calgary Zoo**

The Calgary Zoo's vision is to be Canada's leader in wildlife conservation. In close alignment with IUCN, this vision is pursued through a mix of Canadian and global conservation initiatives regarding two strategic pillars: 1) conservation translocations, such as reintroductions, to avert species extinction and strengthen ecosystem function; and 2) community conservation to bring mutual and sustainable benefits for local livelihoods and biodiversity. The Calgary Zoo engages in collaborative partnerships around the world to develop the innovation and application of science-based solutions to achieve long-term benefits for conservation.

[www.calgaryzoo.com/](http://www.calgaryzoo.com/)



## **Wildlife Reserves Singapore**

Wildlife Reserves Singapore (WRS) is dedicated to the management of world-leading zoological institutions - Jurong Bird Park, Night Safari, River Safari and Singapore Zoo - that aim to inspire people to value and conserve biodiversity by providing meaningful and memorable wildlife experiences. A self-funded organization, WRS focuses on protecting biodiversity in Singapore and Southeast Asia through collaborations with like-minded partners, organizations and institutions. Each year, the four attractions welcome five million visitors.

[www.wrs.com.sg/](http://www.wrs.com.sg/)

## **The Aspinall Foundation**

The Aspinall Foundation is a UK-based charity devoted to the conservation of endangered species and returning them to wild protected areas. Major achievements include the reintroduction of gorillas to the Batéké Plateau, the reinforcement of small isolated gibbon and langur populations in Java, the translocation of captive-born Eastern black rhinos and Southern cheetahs from the UK to protected reserves in Africa, and the implementation of a community-based species survival program for the Critically Endangered Greater bamboo lemur in Madagascar.

[www.aspinallfoundation.org/](http://www.aspinallfoundation.org/)

## **IUCN Species Survival Commission (SSC)**

With over 8,000 members, the Species Survival Commission (SSC) is the largest of the six expert commissions of IUCN and enables IUCN to influence, encourage and assist societies to conserve biodiversity by building knowledge on the status and threats to species, providing advice, developing policies and guidelines, facilitating conservation planning, and catalyzing conservation action.

Members of SSC belong to one or more of the 140 Specialist Groups, Red List Authorities and Task Forces, each focusing on a taxonomic group (plants, fungi, mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes and invertebrates), or a disciplinary issue, such as sustainable use and livelihoods, reintroduction of species, wildlife health, climate change and conservation planning.

[www.iucn.org/theme/species/about/species-survival-commission](http://www.iucn.org/theme/species/about/species-survival-commission)

## CONTENTS

Foreword from Dr. Shaikha Al Dhaheri, Environment Agency - Abu Dhabi .....	vii
Foreword from Dr. Axel Moehrenschrager, IUCN SSC CTSG .....	viii
Foreword from Dr. Sonja Luz, Wildlife Reserves Singapore .....	ix
Foreword from Tony King, The Aspinall Foundation .....	x
Foreword from Dr. Jon Paul Rodriguez, SSC .....	xi
Overview and analysis of reintroduction case studies .....	xiii
<b>Invertebrates .....</b>	<b>1</b>
Field cricket in England .....	1
Murray crayfish in Australia .....	6
Red-spotted apollo butterfly in South Korea .....	11
Scarce fritillary butterfly in Czech Republic .....	17
<b>Fish .....</b>	<b>21</b>
Malanda rainbowfish in Australia .....	21
Yarra and Southern pygmy perch in Australia .....	26
Bullhead reintroduction .....	32
Pahrump poolfish in Nevada, USA .....	41
Moapa dace in Nevada, USA .....	46
<b>Amphibians .....</b>	<b>52</b>
Apennine yellow-bellied toad in Italy .....	52
<i>Leiopelma archeyi</i> in New Zealand .....	56
Mountain yellow-legged frog in California, USA .....	65
Green and golden bell frogs in Australia .....	70
Relict leopard frog in Nevada, USA .....	76
<b>Reptiles .....</b>	<b>82</b>
Hermann's tortoise in France .....	82
Orinoco crocodile in Venezuela .....	87
Orinoco turtle in Venezuela .....	93
<b>Birds .....</b>	<b>98</b>
Newell's shearwaters and Hawaiian petrels to Hawaii, USA .....	98
Red-billed chough in British Channel Islands .....	103
Andean condor in Chile .....	108
Darwin's rhea in Chile .....	113
Mabula ground hornbill in South Africa .....	118
Laysan teal in Hawaii, USA .....	123
Great green macaw in Costa Rica .....	128
Scarlet macaw in Costa Rica .....	133
Mallee emu-wren in South Australia .....	137
Griffon vulture in SW Bulgaria .....	143
Great-billed seed finch reintroduction in Brazil .....	148

<b>Mammals</b>	<b>152</b>
Père David's deer in China	152
Southern pudu in Chile	158
Red-rumped agouti in Brazil	163
Brown howler monkey in Brazil	168
Stephens' kangaroo rat in California, USA	173
Andean cat in Bolivia	178
Los Angeles pocket mouse in California, USA	184
Banded and Rufous hare-wallaby in Australia	189
Eastern quoll in Australia	194
Leopard cat in Taiwan	200
European ground squirrel in Czech Republic	205
African elephants in Kenya	210
European bison in Romania	214
Plains bison in Montana, USA	220
Brown hyena in South Africa	225
Javan silvery gibbon in Indonesia	229
Javan grizzled and Western Javan ebony langur in Indonesia	235
Javan ebony langur in East Java, Indonesia	241
Collared peccary in Argentina	246
 <b>Plants</b>	 <b>251</b>
White saxual in UAE	251
Julian's hibbertia in Sydney, Australia	255
Tall astelia in Victoria, Australia	260
Banded ironstone wedding bush in Australia	264
Restoration of threatened tree species in the Araucaria Forest, Brazil	269
Water soldier in Italy	274
Sand stock in Italy	278
Gennari milkvetch in Sardinia, Italy	283
Sea flax in Mallorca, Spain	288
<i>Anchusa crispa</i> in Corsica, France	293
<i>Horstrissea dolinicola</i> in Crete, Greece	298
<i>Dianthus rupicola</i> in Sicily, Italy	303
Hawkweed in Milan, Italy	308
<i>Zelkova sicula</i> in Sicily, Italy	311
Georgian almond in central Georgia	317
<i>Androcalva perlaria</i> in Australia	322
Marsh angelica in the Czech Republic	327
<i>Minuartia smejkalii</i> in Czech Republic	331
Long-stalked pondweed in the Czech Republic	336
Yuanbaoshan fir in Guangxi, China	341
Danyang aster in South Korea	346
Red homtail orchid reintroduction in Singapore	350





# Resetting-translocation to the release point promotes reinforcement success in the Hermann's tortoise

Sébastien Caron<sup>1</sup>, Xavier Bonnet<sup>2</sup>, Léa Brun<sup>1</sup>, Magalie Afferiat<sup>1</sup> & Jean-Marie Ballouard<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> - Station d'Observation et de Protection des Tortues et de leurs Milieux, Chelonian Conservation and Research Center, 1065 route du Luc, 83660 Carnoules, France  
[sebastien.caron@sontom.org](mailto:sebastien.caron@sontom.org); [jean-marie.ballouard@sontom.org](mailto:jean-marie.ballouard@sontom.org)

<sup>2</sup> - Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chize, UMR 7372 CNRS & Université de La Rochelle 79360 Villiers en Bois, France [bonnet@cebc.cnrs.fr](mailto:bonnet@cebc.cnrs.fr)

## Introduction

The Hermann's tortoise (*Testudo hermanni*, Gmelin, 1789) is exposed to multiple threats in Europe (Nikolić *et al.*, 2018). Populations of both western (*T. h. hermanni*) and eastern sub-species (*T. h. boettgeri*) are fading throughout their geographic range (Livoreil, 2009). Listed on the Appendix II (A) of CITES, it is classified as "Near Threatened" on the IUCN World Red List, but the western sub-species is considered "Vulnerable" on the French National Red List. The situation of the western sub-species is particularly worrying. Continuous declines since the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century in Italy, France and Spain resulted in strongly fragmented and reduced populations. In continental France, only one population located in and nearby the Maures Mountains (Var district, South east) persists. Classified as "Endangered" (IUCN regional status) this population is particularly vulnerable due to habitat loss, forest fire, and illegal harvesting.

Conservation projects involve sensitization, habitat management and translocation. We tested the efficiency of using rescued individuals to re-enforce the most weakened populations. Following preliminary experiments, we present the results from a second monitoring of successful translocation.



Hermann's tortoise © Franck Bonin

## Goals

- Checking sanitary and genetic profiles of the rescued candidates.
- Selecting suitable releasing sites and monitoring resident host populations.
- Releasing adults, sub-adults and juveniles from a pool of rescued animals.
- Radio-tracking of translocated and





resident tortoises during two years to assess survival, settling rate and body condition.

- Testing resetting-translocation to the release point in case of over dispersal.



Overview of release site © Sébastien Caron

### Success Indicators

- Obtaining official agreements and permits.
- Accurate monitoring of juveniles, sub-adults and adults of both translocated and resident tortoises.
- High survival rate (>80%) and stable body condition of translocated individuals.
- High settlement rate (>50%) of translocated tortoises following initial release and possible resetting-translocation to the release point.
- Evidence of reinforcements, e.g. sexual behaviors between translocated and resident tortoises.

### Project Summary

**Feasibility:** The Hermann's tortoise exemplifies the worrying impact of habitat loss in the Mediterranean areas that threatens many endemic species. Thanks to strict protection, wild specimens must be displaced before habitat destruction under the framework of the Avoid-Reduce-Compensate plan (ERC). This strategy aims at ensuring that economical development does not result in any net loss of biodiversity. Rescued specimens collected over time represent excellent candidates for population restoration (i.e. reinforcement translocation). Nevertheless, translocation of reptiles requires robust feedback before being generalized. For example, homing or persistent dispersal may compromise the establishment and the survival of the released tortoises.

A project to build a college planned on a site where an isolated population of tortoises prompted ERC mitigation procedures. The SOPTOM was mandated to conduct a rescue operation, and to perform translocations and scientific monitoring of released individuals.

Within the framework of a conservation Life+ program (2010 - 2014), a global feasibility study was undertaken. Then, tortoises were hard-released in spring and autumn. A three year monitoring of translocated tortoises provided encouraging results (~70% survival) and suggested that this approach is a suitable tool to



Post-release monitoring © Magalie Aferiat

reinforce eroded populations. Yet, high dispersal of several individuals compromised their survival while effective settlement sometimes required two years, and thus occurred far away from the release point. Moreover, only adults were involved, other age-classes were neglected. Here, we paid attention to the origin of individuals (e.g.

discarding hybrids), site selection, and we included different age classes in the program. To minimize possible negative effect of persistent dispersal we used resetting-translocation to the release point: over-dispersing individuals were put back to the initial point of release. Expectedly, individuals prone to over dispersal may surrender and eventually decide to settle into the targeted area.

**Implementation:** In fall 2015 and spring 2016, tortoises rescued and maintained temporarily in the SOPTOM center were selected. This sample includes four adults (>11 years old), four sub-adults (6 - 11 years old), and five juveniles (3 - 5 years old). To our knowledge, this study is the first to involve the monitoring of young individuals. It is often assumed that the survival of juveniles is low; based on this criterion they are usually discarded from translocation projects. Robust field data are required to test this assumption. A translocated plan was granted in 2016. The wild native origin of candidates was assessed with genetic analyses. Because *Mycoplasma agassizii* and tortoise herpesvirus are important emerging pathogens, candidates underwent a strict health screening program to ensure that the selected tortoises were clean.

The release site was large (>40 ha), located in the historical range of the species but 30 km away from the SOPTOM center and from the native site of tortoises to prevent homing. Habitats were favorable (e.g. mosaic of open and forest patches) while land management was under control. Previous census of the resident host population indicated that density was low (<1 individual/ha), probably due to the impact of recurrent forestry work over years. We had released individuals in spring 2017, a technique already validated in this species.

In addition to the translocated individuals, four resident adults from the host population and six from a nearby control population were also radio-tracked. Each tortoise was located 3 - 5 times per week during 15 months after release enabling us to precisely describe movement patterns, notably the timing and location of settlement.





**Post-release monitoring:** Most (85%) of the released individuals did not settle, showing over dispersal and moving beyond that limit of 1 km targeted in this project. Therefore they did not remain within the boundaries of the protected host area. Our previous studies showed that tortoises are more likely to experience mortality during prolonged dispersal, especially when they cross unfavorable habitats or dangerous obstacles. Only two juveniles settled under the 1 km limit the first year. Therefore, we put over-dispersing individuals back to the initial release point. A single resetting-translocation was successful for 50% of the over dispersing tortoises; further resetting-translocations (1 - 2) were successful for 21% more tortoises. Overall, following (1 - 3) resetting-translocations, only ~25% of the released individuals did not settle in the targeted area.

After 15 months, high survival rate (100%) and stable body condition of the individuals suggested that translocation procedures were successful. Importantly, this included supposedly highly vulnerable juveniles. Our results show that individuals adapted well to their novel environment, finding enough trophic resources to maintain their body condition within a normal range (i.e. not different compared to resident host tortoises). Resident individuals did not display any sign of perturbation due to the introduction of exogenous individuals. Resetting-translocation to the release point were essential to promote settlement into the targeted area, and thus likely to enhance survival of the released individuals.

### Major difficulties faced

- Reaching a consensus on site selection amongst French authorities, land owner and site manager.
- Finding a releasing site as large as possible to limit the problems associated with over-dispersal.
- Limiting over-dispersal of released tortoises via intensive radio-tracking and resetting-translocations.

### Major lessons learned

- Post-release dispersal was inevitable; many individuals did not stay in the (small) favorable host site.
- Resetting-translocation to the release point promoted settlement into the targeted area, and represent a valuable alternative to expensive acclimation pens.
- Monitoring and possible resetting-translocation must be conducted on the long term; at least more than 1 year.
- Juveniles (2 - 5 years old) are suitable candidates for translocation.





## Success of project

Highly Successful	Successful	Partially Successful	Failure

### Reason(s) for success:

- Candidates were correctly selected (high body condition, genetic and health profiles).
- The host area selected provided diverse and favorable microhabitats, notably abundant refuges for the young tortoises.
- Tenacity to perform radio-tracking and resetting-translocations payed off.

## References

Ballouard, J-M., Caron, S. & Bonnet, X. (In press) Successful translocations of the Hermann's tortoise (*Testudo hermanni hermanni*) offer encouraging perspectives to restore populations after fire. Submitted for a brief in "Strategies for Conservation Success in Herpetology", Susan C. Walls and Katherine M. O'Donnell (editors), Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles, Herpetological Conservation Series, Vol. 4.

Lepeigneul, O., Ballouard, J-M., Beck, E., Barbier, M., Buisson, E., Bonnet, X. & Caron, S. (2014) Immediate response to translocation without acclimation from captivity to the wild in Hermann's tortoise. *European Journal of Wildlife Research* 60(6): 897-907.

Livoreil, B. (2009) Distribution of the endangered Hermann's tortoise *Testudo hermanni hermanni* in Var, France, and recommendations for its conservation. *Oryx* 43(2): 299-305.

Nikolić, S., Golubović, A., Bonnet, X., Arsovski, D., Ballouard, J-M., Ajtić, R., Sterijovski, B., Iković, V., Vujović, A. & Tomović, L. (2018) Why an Apparently Prosperous Subspecies Needs Strict Protection: The Case of *Testudo hermanni boettgeri* from the Central Balkans. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 13 (3): 673-690.

Pille, F., Caron, S., Bonnet, X., Deleuze, S., Busson, D., Etien, T., Girard, F. & Ballouard, J-M. (2018) Settlement pattern of tortoises translocated into the wild: a key to evaluate population reinforcement success. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 27(2): 437-457.