



Nature vs. Nurture: Disentangling the Influence of Inheritance, Incubation Temperature, and Post-Natal Care on Offspring Heart Rate and Metabolism in Zebra Finches

Sydney F. Hope^{*†}, Louise Schmitt, Olivier Lourdaïs and Frédéric Angelier[†]

Centre d'Etudes Biologiques de Chizé, CNRS—La Rochelle Université, Villiers en Bois, France

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Vincent M. Cassone,
University of Kentucky, United States

Reviewed by:

Stephen Ferguson,
St. Norbert College, United States
Ila Mishra,
Case Western Reserve University,
United States

*Correspondence:

Sydney F. Hope
sydney.hope@gmail.com

†ORCID ID:

Sydney F. Hope,
orcid.org/0000-0002-3711-8593
Frédéric Angelier,
orcid.org/0000-0003-2619-167X

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to
Avian Physiology,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 08 March 2022

Accepted: 19 April 2022

Published: 10 May 2022

Citation:

Hope SF, Schmitt L, Lourdaïs O and
Angelier F (2022) Nature vs. Nurture:
Disentangling the Influence of
Inheritance, Incubation Temperature,
and Post-Natal Care on Offspring
Heart Rate and Metabolism in
Zebra Finches.
Front. Physiol. 13:892154.
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.892154

A historic debate in biology is the question of nature vs. nurture. Although it is now known that most traits are a product of both heredity (“nature”) and the environment (“nurture”), these two driving forces of trait development are rarely examined together. In birds, one important aspect of the early developmental environment is egg incubation temperature. Small changes (<1°C) in incubation temperature can have large effects on a wide-array of offspring traits. One important trait is metabolism, because it is related to life-history traits and strategies, organismal performance, and energetic and behavioral strategies. Although it has been shown that embryonic and post-hatch metabolism are related to egg incubation temperature, little is known about how this may vary as a function of genetic differences or post-hatching environmental conditions. Here, we investigated this question in zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*). We experimentally incubated eggs at two different temperatures: 37.5°C (control), which is optimal for this species and 36.3°C (low), which is suboptimal. We first measured embryonic heart rate as a proxy of embryonic metabolic rate. Then, at hatch, we cross-fostered nestlings to differentiate genetic and pre-hatching factors from post-hatching environmental conditions. When offspring were 30 days-old, we measured their resting metabolic rate (RMR; within the thermoneutral zone) and thermoregulatory metabolic rate (TMR; 12°C; birds must actively thermoregulate). We also measured RMR and TMR of all genetic and foster parents. We found that embryonic heart rate was greater in eggs incubated at the control temperature than those at the low temperature. Further, embryonic heart rate was positively related to genetic father RMR, suggesting that it is both heritable and affected by the pre-natal environment. In addition, we found that post-hatch metabolic rates were positively related to genetic parent metabolic rate, and interactively related to incubation temperature and foster mother metabolic rate. Altogether, this suggests that metabolism and the energetic cost of thermoregulation can be influenced by genetics, the pre-natal environment, and the post-natal environment. Our study sheds light on how environmental changes and parental care may affect avian physiology, as well as which traits may be susceptible to natural selection.

Keywords: incubation temperature, heritability, metabolic rate, thermoregulation, embryonic heart rate, cost of thermoregulation

1 INTRODUCTION

Whole-organism metabolism is a fundamental aspect animal physiology, and thus understanding the drivers of individual variation in metabolism is crucial (Burton et al., 2011; White and Kearney, 2013; Pettersen et al., 2018). Resting metabolic rate (RMR) represents an individual's minimum energy requirements for self-maintenance (Daan et al., 1990; Bryant, 1997), excluding physiological processes such as thermoregulation, digestion, and activity (McNab, 1997). RMR is important for understanding basal metabolic rate, and is also related to individual life history traits and strategies, performance, energetic strategies, behavior, reproductive success, and survival (Careau et al., 2008; Biro and Stamps, 2010; Williams et al., 2010; Careau and Garland, 2012; Rønning et al., 2016; Auer et al., 2018; Pettersen et al., 2018). While metabolic rate varies depending on current environmental conditions (Broggi et al., 2004; Norin and Metcalfe, 2019), there is evidence that, across taxa, individual differences in metabolic rate are repeatable (Nespolo and Franco, 2007; Careau et al., 2008; Broggi et al., 2009; Réveillon et al., 2019; Baškiera and Gvoždík, 2021; Dezetter et al., 2021) and heritable (reviewed in Pettersen et al., 2018). Further, conditions during early development and parental effects can also have lasting effects on individual metabolism (Burton et al., 2011). For example, in oviparous species, maternal hormone deposition to eggs can affect offspring post-hatch metabolic rate (Groothuis et al., 2005; Tobler et al., 2007; Nilsson et al., 2011). However, little is known about how different drivers (e.g., heritability and parental effects) may interact to influence metabolism (Burton et al., 2011; White and Kearney, 2013; Pettersen et al., 2018; McFarlane et al., 2021). Understanding the sources of inter-individual variation in metabolism will shed light on how environmental changes, parental care decisions, and natural selection can shape this important aspect of physiology.

In birds, some of the most important sources of variation in offspring physiology arise from parental care decisions. Aside from important maternal effects during egg-laying (e.g., nutrient/hormone transfer to eggs; (Groothuis et al., 2005; Tobler et al., 2007; Nilsson et al., 2011), two essential ways in which parents must ensure proper offspring development are through egg incubation and post-hatch nestling care. Incubation is necessary for eggs to hatch (Deeming and Ferguson, 1991), but energetically costly and time consuming for parents (Tinbergen and Williams, 2002; Nord and Williams, 2015). In turn, incubation investment varies among parents, due to factors such as ambient temperature, clutch size, parental experience, and individual quality (Aldrich and Raveling, 1983; Haftorn and Reinertsen, 1985; Conway and Martin, 2000; Ardia and Clotfelter, 2007; Coe et al., 2015; Amininasab et al., 2016; Hope et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2021). This causes incubation temperatures to vary both among and within nests (Boulton and Cassey, 2012; Coe et al., 2015; Hope et al., 2021). Importantly, small differences in temperature can have large effects on offspring physiology, such as metabolic rate, thermoregulation, glucocorticoid hormone levels, immune function, and telomere length (Nord and Nilsson, 2011; DuRant et al., 2013; Hepp et al., 2015; Wada et al., 2015; Stier et al., 2020; Hope et al., 2021). Similarly, in

altricial species, parental food provisioning is essential for the proper growth and development of offspring. However, parents vary in their nestling provisioning rates due to factors such as food availability, the sex of the parent, parental experience, ambient temperature, brood size, and predation risk (Wright et al., 1998; Wiebe and Neufeld, 2003; Barba et al., 2009; Low et al., 2012; Ghilambor et al., 2013), with some evidence that provisioning behavior is repeatable and that some individuals are consistently “good” parents (Schwagmeyer and Mock, 2003). As with incubation temperature, differences in provisioning can affect offspring morphology and physiology. For example, food limitation during nestling development is related to low body masses, slow growth rates, altered glucocorticoid hormone levels, higher metabolic rates, and lower survival (Lepczyk and Karasov, 2000; Killpack and Karasov, 2012; Schmidt et al., 2012).

There is evidence that genetics, incubation temperature, and post-hatch parental care can influence both juvenile and adult avian metabolic rate. For example, avian RMR has been shown to be repeatable within individuals and heritable through adulthood (Bech et al., 1999; Rønning et al., 2005, 2007; Broggi et al., 2009; Nilsson et al., 2009). Further, studies have found that eggs incubated at lower temperatures have slower embryonic development and lower embryonic metabolic rates (DuRant et al., 2011; Stier et al., 2020) but, after hatching, produce offspring that have higher RMR early in life compared to those incubated at a warmer temperature (Nord and Nilsson, 2011; Wada et al., 2015). Moreover, environmental stressors during the post-hatch development, such as glucocorticoid exposure (Spencer and Verhulst, 2008; Dupont et al., 2019), food restriction (Moe et al., 2005; Criscuolo et al., 2008; Rønning et al., 2009; Careau et al., 2014), and sibling competition (Burness et al., 2000; Verhulst et al., 2006), can have long-lasting effects on offspring RMR. Additionally, another important aspect of metabolism is thermoregulatory metabolic rate (TMR), which is the metabolic rate organisms express under challenging thermal conditions, and represents the metabolic cost associated with thermoregulation (Broggi et al., 2004; Carleton and Rio, 2005; Nzama et al., 2010; DuRant et al., 2012; Dupont et al., 2019). Although less-often studied compared to RMR, there is also some evidence that avian TMR can be affected by incubation temperature and the post-hatch environment. For example, one study found that wood ducks (*Aix sponsa*) incubated at a lower temperature had higher TMR than those incubated at a warmer temperature (DuRant et al., 2012). Further, one study found that house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) with increased glucocorticoid exposure during post-hatch development had lower TMR than control nestlings (Dupont et al., 2019). However, despite the evidence for the influence of genetics and pre- and post-hatch parental effects on both avian RMR and TMR, no study to date has investigated whether these different drivers may interact to affect metabolism.

In this study, we investigated whether genetics, incubation temperature, and/or post-hatch parental care interact to explain individual variation in avian RMR or TMR. To do this, we incubated zebra finch (*T. guttata*) eggs at two different temperatures: 37.5°C (control), which is optimal for this species and 36.3°C (low), which is suboptimal in this species,

as shown in other studies (Wada et al., 2015; Berntsen and Bech, 2016). During incubation, as a proxy of embryonic metabolic rate, we measured embryonic heart rate (Sheldon et al., 2018). Then, at hatch, we cross-fostered nestlings to decouple genetic and pre-hatching factors from post-hatching environmental conditions. Lastly, we measured the RMR and TMR of all offspring at Day 30 (i.e., nutritional independence), and of all parents after reproduction had ended. Our main hypothesis was that offspring metabolism is shaped through a combination of inheritance, incubation conditions, and post-natal care. We tested the following predictions:

- 1) Embryonic heart rate and offspring metabolic rate on Day 30 are positively related to the metabolic rate of genetic parents (i.e., metabolic rate is heritable; Rønning et al., 2007; Nilsson et al., 2009).
- 2) Lower incubation temperatures lead to slower embryonic heart rates (Rubin, 2019; Stier et al., 2020), but higher RMR (Nord and Nilsson, 2011; Wada et al., 2015) and TMR (DuRant et al., 2012) at Day 30.
- 3) We considered the relationship between foster parent and offspring metabolic rate to be representative of the overall influence of the post-hatch environment and predicted that offspring metabolic rate would also be related to the metabolic rate of foster parents.

Along with these predictions, we also tested for interactive effects among our incubation temperature treatment and parental metabolism, with the expectation that offspring metabolic rate and embryonic heart rate may have different relationships with parental metabolic rate, depending on the incubation temperature treatment.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 General Husbandry and Breeding

We used a breeding colony of zebra finches (*T. guttata*; $N = 20$ pairs; “parents”) housed at the CEBC (CNRS) for this study. We first housed the 40 birds together in an indoor aviary for 10 days and we formed pairs based on mating behaviors that we observed (e.g., singing, proximity, etc.). We then housed pairs in cages ($47.5 \times 38 \times 51$ cm) with external nest boxes ($12 \times 13 \times 16$ cm). Ambient temperature was kept at a constant 22°C and the photoperiod was set to a 14:10 day:night cycle, for all aspects of the study, including pair formation, reproduction, and nestling rearing. We provided birds with ~ 10 g of alfalfa hay every day, and then ~ 1 g of coconut fiber once the hay completely covered the bottom of the nest box. We misted pairs with water once per day until their first egg was laid, to stimulate reproduction. We provided birds with *ad libitum* food (Versele-Laga Prestige Tropical Finches seed mix), water supplemented with vitamins, cuttlefish bone, and grit. We also gave birds ~ 2 g of chopped hard-boiled eggs (including the shells) every day from pair formation until nestling Day 30, along with endives and millet sprays once per week (Olson et al., 2014). All procedures in this study were approved by the national ethics committee for

animal experimentation under file number APAFIS#23727-2020011311559318.

2.2 Egg Incubation

We checked nest boxes daily at 10:00. Once an egg was found, we marked it with a unique ID using a small marker, weighed it, and placed it in an incubator (Brinsea® Ovation 28 Advance digital egg incubator) at one of two temperatures. We followed an incubation protocol similar to Wada et al. (2015). The “control” incubator was set at a constant 37.5°C (± 0.1 [SD]), which is likely optimal for zebra finches. The “low” incubator was set at a constant 36.3°C (± 0.1 [SD]), which is within the natural range of zebra finch incubation temperatures, but there is evidence that it produces suboptimal offspring phenotypes in this species (Wada et al., 2015). Both incubators were set at a humidity of 55%. We verified the temperature and humidity by placing iButton® (Hygrochron DS 1923, Maxim Integrated™) temperature loggers inside of each incubator. We randomly assigned the incubation treatment to the first laid egg of each breeding pair, and then systematically alternated among temperature treatments for each subsequent egg for the entire length experiment. Multiple clutches from each breeding pair were used in this study, to attain a sufficient sample size. During artificial incubation, we gave parents fake clay eggs to incubate so that they stayed in the breeding phase. One day before the predicted hatching date (day 13 for “control” eggs and day 14 for “low” eggs), we transferred eggs to a hatcher that was set at a temperature of 37.5°C and 67% humidity.

2.3 Embryonic Heart Rate

Embryonic heart rate is correlated with embryonic oxygen consumption (Du et al., 2010), and thus can be used as a proxy for energy expenditure during embryonic development. We measured embryonic heart rate by placing eggs in the Buddy digital egg monitor (Vetronic Services, Abbotskerswell, Devon, United Kingdom). We considered that a reading was reliable when the curve and heart rate outputs were relatively consistent for ~ 10 s (Sheldon et al., 2018). At each timepoint (see below), we took three repeated heart rate measures within 3 min of taking each egg (individually) out of the incubator and noted the time (seconds) that it took to take each measure. If any/all of the readings were unreliable (e.g., due to embryo movement; Sheldon et al., 2018), they were excluded from the analyses. All readings were taken in a room at a constant temperature of 22°C . If there was a consistent heart rate reading of “0”, we candled eggs and determined if they were infertile or had died during development.

We measured heart rates of embryos after 11, 12 and 13 days for incubation for “control” eggs and after 12, 13 and 14 days of incubation for “low” eggs. These three measures are hereafter referred to as “readings 1, 2 and 3”. We chose these days because the incubation period of “control” eggs is about 1 day shorter than that of and “low” eggs (Table 1) and, thus, we chose to investigate differences in heart rate among embryos at the same stage of development (i.e., “developmental age”), instead of after the same number of days (i.e., “calendar age”). We validated that our embryonic heart rate results were not driven by differences in eggshell temperature, and that they were not affected by our

TABLE 1 | Summary statistics of hatch success, incubation period, body mass, and metabolic rate.

Variable	Incubation temperature			
	Control (37.5°C)		Low (36.3°C)	
	Mean ± SE	N	Mean ± SE	N
Hatch success ^a	25.9%	135	23.9%	134
Incubation period (days)	13.8 ± 0.08	35	15.1 ± 0.06	32
Body mass day 0 (g) ^b	0.80 ± 0.02	33	0.83 ± 0.02	32
Body mass day 30 (g)	14.6 ± 0.39	20	14.4 ± 0.36	15
TMR (VO ₂)	6.88 ± 0.16	20	6.93 ± 0.25	15
RMR (VO ₂)	3.18 ± 0.08	20	3.06 ± 0.09	15

^aExcludes infertile and cracked eggs.

^bExcludes two individuals that hatched but died in the incubator.

choice of using “developmental age” instead of “calendar age” (see **Supplementary Appendix S1**). We placed eggs in the hatcher after the final heart rate readings.

2.4 Nestling Monitoring

We checked the hatcher for hatching multiple times each day and, at a minimum, once at 9:00 and once at 17:00. Once hatched, we weighed and marked nestlings by removing distinct patches of down feathers (Adam et al., 2014). Then, we cross-fostered nestlings. We gave parents up to two nestlings, which were never from the same incubation treatment, and nestlings were never more than 1 day apart in age. We housed nestlings with their foster parents until independence (i.e., Day 30), and then we conducted the metabolism measurements. Afterward, we housed independent offspring in sex-specific communal cages for use in future studies. We banded nestlings on Day 10 and determined their sex using plumage characteristics on Day 30.

2.5 Metabolism

We quantified energy metabolism in both parents and offspring by measuring oxygen consumption rates using multichannel open-circuit respirometry (Sable Systems Int., Las Vegas, NV, United States; Brischoux et al., 2017). We measured offspring when they were 32 ± 2.9 (range: 28–39) days-old, and we measured parents after they had finished reproduction [75 ± 26 days after their last foster nestling reached Day 30 (for those that successfully raised at least one nestling); 102 ± 20 days since their last laid egg (all birds)]. We measured metabolism of each bird twice: once at 32°C (RMR; within the thermoneutral zone; Calder, 1964) and once at 12°C (TMR; when birds must actively thermoregulate; Dupont et al., 2019), to determine whether incubation temperature might affect energy expenditure during a thermal challenge. Measurements at different temperatures were conducted on consecutive days and the order was randomized among incubation temperature treatments. We weighed birds at ~20:15 and began respirometry at ~20:30. Up to 7 birds were measured each night, and the system measured oxygen consumption of each bird for 10 min and systematically alternated among chambers, with 15 min of baseline reading (empty chamber) each time a full cycle was completed. Birds were removed and

weighed again at ~8:30 the next morning. We did not analyze the first 3 h of data because this was the time when birds fasted (Wada et al., 2015). Oxygen flow was set at ~350 ml/min, and O₂ at 20.95%, which was recalibrated each night.

To calculate metabolic rate, we first chose the value of oxygen consumption for each 10 min run of each individual that was the lowest and most consistent, using the computer software ExpeData (Sable Systems). Then, we calculated metabolic rate using the Hoffman Equation for VO₂ (ml/h), and then corrected for body mass (ml/h/g). Lastly, we calculated the mean VO₂ of all runs of each individual to obtain the final VO₂ value (ml/h/g) for each individual.

2.6 Statistical Analyses

We conducted all statistical analyses using R v 3.5.1 (R Core Team, 2018). We reduced models using stepwise backwards elimination of non-significant terms ($p > 0.10$), starting with non-significant interactions. After eliminating the term with the highest p -value, we reran the model and continued this process until only significant ($p < 0.05$) or marginally significant ($0.05 < p < 0.10$) terms remained in the model. Incubation temperature was always treated as a categorical variable. We ensured that all models met the assumptions of normal and homoscedastic residuals by investigating histograms of residuals, normal quantile plots, and fitted vs. residuals plots. We verified that models met the assumption of non-multicollinearity by investigating the variance inflation factors (*vif*). Further, all continuous independent variables that were used in interactions were scaled and centered to reduce multicollinearity. We used the package *lme4* (Bates et al., 2015) for mixed effects models and *emmeans* (Lenth, 2018) for post-hoc tests, including slope comparisons for interactions. p -values were calculated using the *Anova* function using the *car* (Fox and Weisberg, 2011) package. R^2 values for mixed effects models were calculated using the *MuMIn* package (Bartoń, 2018). Figures were created using the *plyr* (Wickham, 2011) and *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2016) packages. Two male parents died for reasons unrelated to the experiment before parental metabolic rates were measured, and thus their RMR, TMR, and ΔMR were not able to be included in the analyses. Neither of these males was a genetic father to any offspring that lived until Day 30 in this study, and only one of these males was a foster father to a single individual that lived until Day 30.

First, to determine whether embryonic heart rate was related to incubation temperature and/or parent metabolism, we built one linear mixed effect model with heart rate as the dependent variable. The independent variables were incubation temperature, reading (1, 2 or 3), the time it took to take the measurement (seconds), the RMR of both the genetic mother and father, along with all two-way interactions with incubation temperature. Parent TMR was not included in this model because 1) we predicted only that parental RMR would be related with embryonic metabolism, measured when embryos were at warm temperatures (i.e., incubation) and 2) parent TMR and RMR were correlated ($r = 0.42$; $p < 0.01$), and thus including them both in the model would increase multicollinearity. We also

included whether the egg hatched or not as an independent variable, and egg mass as a covariate. Egg ID was included as a random effect to control for repeated measures.

Second, to determine whether offspring metabolism was related to incubation temperature and the temperature at which the measurement was taken, we built one linear mixed effects model. Offspring metabolism (VO_2 , ml/h/g) was the dependent variable, and it was log-transformed to meet model assumptions. The independent variables were incubation temperature, the temperature of the measurement (12°C or 32°C), and their interaction. Sex and age were also included as covariates, as well as the interaction between incubation temperature and sex. Individual ID, genetic parent ID, and foster parent ID were included as random effects to account for repeated measures within individuals and among siblings.

Next, we determined whether offspring metabolism was related to parental metabolism. First, we calculated heritability (h^2) as the slope of the regression between the mean value of genetic parent metabolism (either RMR or TMR) and offspring metabolism (Åkesson et al., 2008; Wray and Visscher, 2008). Then, to examine relationships among all parents (genetic and foster; separated by sex), and to test whether there was an interactive effect of incubation temperature and parental metabolism, we built three linear models. For all models, the dependent variable was offspring metabolism (VO_2 , ml/h/g) and the independent variables were incubation temperature, the metabolism of the genetic mother, genetic father, foster mother, and foster father, along with all two-way interactions with incubation temperature. The difference among the three models was that the first included only RMR data (both parents and offspring), the second included only TMR data, and the third used the difference between TMR and RMR (i.e., additional amount of energy expended during thermoregulation; hereafter Δ MR) for all individuals.

Lastly, to determine whether embryonic heart rate and offspring metabolism (at Day 30) were correlated within individuals, we built one linear mixed effect model. The dependent variable was embryonic heart rate, and only individuals that lived until the metabolic measurement (~Day 30) were included in the model. The independent variables were incubation temperature, reading (1, 2 or 3), offspring RMR, and all two-way interactions with incubation temperature. Offspring TMR was not included in this model because 1) we predicted only that offspring RMR would be related with embryonic metabolism, measured when embryos were at warm temperatures (i.e., incubation) and 2) offspring TMR and RMR were correlated ($r = 0.73$; $p < 0.001$), and thus including them both in the model would increase multicollinearity. We also included sex and its interaction with incubation temperature in this analysis because, contrary to the first analysis, we had data on the sex of all individuals (i.e., only individuals that lived until Day 30 were included). The time it took to take the measurement (seconds) and egg mass were also included as covariates. Egg ID, genetic parent ID, and foster parent ID were included as random effects to control for repeated measures among siblings.

TABLE 2 | Full and reduced models investigating the relationship of embryonic heart rate with incubation temperature and parental metabolism.

Term	Embryonic heart rate (bpm) ^a	
	F	p
	N_{control} = 107 eggs; 839 readings	
	N_{low} = 117 eggs; 997 readings	
	Full model	
	R²m = 0.43; R²c = 0.70	
Incubation temperature	120.88	<0.0001
Reading (1, 2, or 3)	26.41	<0.0001
Time until measurement (seconds)	425.50	<0.0001
Egg mass	19.49	<0.0001
Hatched (yes/no)	4.18	0.042
Mother RMR	4.44	0.036
Father RMR	0.86	0.36
Incubation X reading	10.01	<0.0001
Incubation X time	2.49	0.12
Incubation X Mother RMR	1.77	0.18
Incubation X Father RMR	0.42	0.52
	Reduced model	
	R²m = 0.43; R²c = 0.70	
Incubation temperature	140.31	<0.0001
Reading (1, 2, or 3)	27.47	<0.0001
Time until measurement (seconds)	766.86	<0.0001
Egg mass	19.70	<0.0001
Hatched (yes/no)	3.91	0.049
Father RMR	13.55	0.0003
Incubation X Reading	9.48	<0.0001

Bold values indicate statistical significance.

^aEgg ID was included as a random effect to control for repeated measures.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Hatching Success, Incubation Period, and Body Mass

Summary statistics for hatching success, incubation period, and nestling body mass (Days 0 and 30) are reported in **Table 1**. There were no differences in hatching success, body mass at Day 0, or body mass at Day 30 between incubation temperature treatment groups (all $p > 0.25$; simple linear models). However, eggs incubated at the lower temperature had a longer incubation period than those incubated at the control temperature ($p < 0.001$).

3.2 Embryonic Heart Rate: Relationship With Incubation Temperature and Parental Metabolism

Embryonic heart rate was related to both incubation temperature and parent metabolic rate. We found that heart rate was greater in embryos from the control treatment compared to the low treatment ($p < 0.001$; **Table 2**; **Figure 1**), and that heart rate increased throughout the course of incubation (reading: $p < 0.001$; **Table 2**). There was an interactive effect of incubation




FIGURE 1 | Zebra finch embryonic heart rate (beats per minute; bpm) at three different time points during development and incubated at two different temperatures (black = control; gray = low). To correct for different developmental rates, readings were taken on control eggs after (1) 11, (2) 12, and (3) 13 days of incubation, while readings were taken on low eggs after (1) 12, (2) 13, and (3) 14 days. Mean \pm SE are shown.

TABLE 3 | Full and reduced models investigating the effects of incubation temperature and temperature of measurement on offspring metabolism at Day 30.

Offspring VO ₂ (ml/h/g) ^{a,b}		
N _{control} = 20; N _{low} = 15		
Full model		
R ² _m = 0.93; R ² _c = 0.98		
Term	F	p
Incubation temperature	0.98	0.33
Temperature (12 or 32 °C)	1847.1	<0.0001
Sex	3.30	0.08*
Age	0.08	0.78
Incubation X Temperature	2.54	0.12
Incubation X Sex	1.55	0.22
Reduced model		
R ² _m = 0.92; R ² _c = 0.98		
Temperature (12 or 32 °C)	3380.8	<0.0001

Bold values indicate statistical significance and asterisks () indicate marginal significance.*

^a*log-transformed to meet model assumptions.*

^b*Parent (genetic and foster) and individual IDs were included as random effects in model.*

temperature and reading on heart rate ($p < 0.001$; **Table 2**), and post-hoc tests revealed significant differences for all pairwise comparisons (all $p < 0.001$), except between the heart rate of control embryos on days 11 and 12 ($p > 0.99$; **Figure 1**). Although embryonic heart rate was significantly related to mother RMR in the full model, it was not retained in the final model (**Table 2**; **Figure 2A**). However, embryonic heart rate was positively correlated with father RMR ($p = 0.0003$; **Table 2**; **Figure 2B**). There was also a relationship between whether or not the egg





FIGURE 2 | The relationships between egg heart rate (bpm) and **(A)** genetic mother RMR and **(B)** genetic father RMR. Eggs were incubated at two different temperatures (black = control; gray = low). All egg heart rate measurements are shown. Regression lines are included only for significant relationships.



hatched and its heart rate ($p = 0.049$; **Table 2**), where embryos with greater heart rates were more likely to hatch. Further, heart rate increased as egg mass increased ($p < 0.001$; **Table 2**), and heart rate decreased with the time that it took to take the measurement ($p < 0.001$; **Table 2**).

3.3 Offspring Metabolic Rate: Relationship With Incubation Temperature and Parental Metabolism

Although we found no differences in metabolic rate among offspring incubated at different temperatures or between sexes (**Table 3**), we found that offspring metabolism was related to the temperature at which the measurement was taken. As expected, metabolism was greater when the measurement was taken at 12°C (TMR) than at 32°C (RMR) ($p < 0.0001$; **Table 3**; **Figure 3**).

The regression of mean genetic parent RMR with offspring RMR revealed that RMR was significantly heritable [$h^2 = 0.53 \pm 0.22$ (SE), $p = 0.02$]. When we examined the relationships of all parents (genetic and foster) as individual factors, we found that genetic mother RMR was positively related to offspring RMR ($p = 0.014$; **Table 4**; **Figure 4A**). However, offspring RMR was not related to any other parental RMR, and there were no interactive relationships with incubation temperature (**Table 4**; **Figure 4B–D**).

The regression of mean genetic parent TMR with offspring TMR revealed that the heritability of TMR was not statistically significant [$h^2 = 0.38 \pm 0.28$ (SE), $p = 0.19$]. However, when examining parents separately (i.e., all genetic and foster parents as separate independent variables), we found a trend that genetic father TMR was positively related to offspring TMR ($p = 0.093$; **Table 4**). Further, there was an interactive effect of incubation temperature and foster mother TMR on offspring TMR ($p = 0.033$; **Table 4**), where the TMR of offspring from the control group was not related to foster mother TMR (slope estimate: 0.022; confidence interval: -0.32 to 0.37) while the TMR of offspring from the low group was negatively related to the TMR of their foster mother (slope estimate: -0.56 ; confidence interval: -0.95 to -0.16). There were no relationships between offspring TMR and their genetic mother or foster father (**Table 4**).

Similar to TMR, the heritability of ΔMR (i.e., TMR–RMR) was not statistically significant [$h^2 = 0.18 \pm 0.27$ (SE), $p = 0.51$]. However, when examining parents separately, although there was no relationship between genetic mother ΔMR and offspring ΔMR (**Table 4**; **Figure 5A**), we found that there was a significant positive relationship between genetic father ΔMR and offspring ΔMR ($p = 0.049$; **Table 4**; **Figure 5B**). There was also an interactive effect of incubation temperature and foster mother ΔMR on offspring ΔMR ($p = 0.025$; **Table 4**; **Figure 5C**). This relationship mimicked that of TMR: the ΔMR of offspring from the control group was not related to foster mother ΔMR (slope estimate: -0.12 ; confidence interval: -0.34 to 0.11 ; **Figure 5C**) while the ΔMR of offspring from the low group was negatively related to the ΔMR of their foster mother (slope estimate: -0.55 ; confidence interval: -0.85 to -0.25 ; **Figure 5C**). There was no relationship between offspring ΔMR and that of their foster father (**Table 4**; **Figure 5D**).

3.4 Embryonic Heart Rate and Metabolic Rate: Relationship Within Individuals

Embryonic heart rate and offspring RMR were not correlated within individuals (**Table 5**). Further, there were no significant interactive effects of incubation temperature and RMR. The only terms that remained in the model (**Table 5**) were incubation temperature ($p < 0.001$), reading ($p < 0.001$), time to take the measurement ($p < 0.001$), and incubation temperature \times reading ($p < 0.001$), as already found previously in **Section 3.2**.

4 DISCUSSION

Here, we manipulated the developmental environment of zebra finches to disentangle the impact of inheritance, incubation temperature, and post-hatch rearing conditions (i.e., cross-fostering) on the energy metabolism of embryos and offspring at nutritional independence (i.e., Day 30). We found that embryonic heart rate, a proxy of embryonic metabolism, was positively related to genetic father RMR and that embryos incubated at the higher incubation temperature had faster heart rates than those incubated at the lower temperature.

TABLE 4 | Full and reduced models investigating the relationship of offspring metabolism at Day 30 with interactions between incubation temperature and parental metabolism.

Term	Response variable: Offspring metabolism (ml/h/g)					
	N _{control} = 20; N _{low} = 15 Includes RMR data		N _{control} = 20; N _{low} = 15 Includes TMR data		N _{control} = 20; N _{low} = 15 Includes difference between TMR and RMR (Δ MR)	
	Full models		Full models		Full models	
	Multiple R ² = 0.42; Adjusted R ² = 0.20		Multiple R ² = 0.32; Adjusted R ² = 0.06		Multiple R ² = 0.45; Adjusted R ² = 0.25	
	F	p	F	p	F	p
Incubation temperature	0.006	0.94	0.079	0.78	0.89	0.35
Genetic mother metabolism	0.24	0.63	0.81	0.38	0.42	0.53
Foster mother metabolism	3.94	0.059*	0.034	0.85	0.50	0.49
Genetic father metabolism	0.11	0.74	0.57	0.46	0.58	0.45
Foster father metabolism	1.69	0.21	1.13	0.30	0.68	0.42
Incubation X Genetic mother	4.31	0.049	0.67	0.42	1.20	0.28
Incubation X Foster mother	0.87	0.36	3.92	0.059*	4.42	0.046
Incubation X Genetic father	2.82	0.11	0.14	0.72	1.35	0.26
Incubation X Foster father	3.32	0.081*	1.60	0.22	1.07	0.31
	Reduced models					
	Multiple R ² = 0.17; Adjusted R ² = 0.14		Multiple R ² = 0.25; Adjusted R ² = 0.15		Multiple R ² = 0.38; Adjusted R ² = 0.30	
Incubation temperature	—	—	0.11	0.74	1.26	0.27
Genetic mother metabolism	6.73	0.014	—	—	—	—
Foster mother metabolism	—	—	0.017	0.90	1.10	0.30
Genetic father metabolism	—	—	3.01	0.093*	4.20	0.049
Incubation X Foster mother	—	—	5.01	0.033	5.57	0.025

Bold values indicate statistical significance and asterisks (*) indicate marginal significance.


Further, we found evidence that post-hatch offspring RMR is heritable and has a positive correlation with genetic mother RMR, although we found no relationship between offspring RMR and either foster parent RMR or incubation temperature. Lastly, we found that the metabolic cost of thermoregulation (i.e., TMR and Δ MR) had a lower heritability than RMR, but was positively related to genetic father TMR and Δ MR. Interestingly, foster mother TMR and Δ MR were negatively correlated with offspring TMR and Δ MR, respectively, but this relationship was only apparent when offspring were incubated at the lower temperature. This suggests that there are combined effects of the pre-natal environment and post-natal parental care on the metabolic cost of thermoregulation.

4.1 Effects of Incubation Temperature

As predicted, eggs that were incubated at the lower temperature had slower embryonic heart rates than those incubated at the higher temperature. Because embryonic heart rate should be an indicator of embryonic metabolism (Du et al., 2010; Sheldon et al., 2018), this suggests that low incubation temperatures lead to a lower embryonic metabolic rate. Our results agree with two other studies that have investigated the relationship between incubation temperature and embryonic heart rate (Rubin, 2019; Stier et al., 2020), and with one study that found that wood duck (*Aix sponsa*) eggs incubated at lower temperatures


had lower daily embryonic oxygen consumption compared to those incubated at higher temperatures (DuRant et al., 2011). This lower energy expenditure during embryonic development should be related to slower developmental rates (Vedder et al., 2017; Sheldon and Griffith, 2018). Indeed, we found that eggs incubated at the lower temperature had a longer developmental duration (i.e., incubation period) than those incubated at the higher temperature (Table 1). Importantly, we still found a difference in embryonic heart rate between incubation temperatures when we corrected for differences in eggshell temperature and differences in developmental rate (i.e., developmental age vs. calendar age; see **Supplementary Material**). This suggests that incubation temperature alters physiology during development, more so than just a linear relationship with current temperature or developmental rate.

We predicted that offspring incubated at the low temperature would have greater post-hatch metabolic rates than those incubated at the control temperature because previous studies have found this effect of incubation temperature on both RMR (Nord and Nilsson, 2011; Wada et al., 2015) and TMR (DuRant et al., 2012) in birds. However, contrary to our predictions, offspring metabolic rate (RMR and TMR) was not affected by our incubation temperature treatments (control: 37.5°C; low: 36.3°C). This disagrees with some other avian studies. For example, Nord and Nilsson (2011) found that 14-day-old blue



tits (*Cyanistes caeruleus*) incubated at a low temperature had higher RMR than those incubated at a warmer temperature and DuRant et al. (2012) found that 1-day-old wood ducks (*Aix sponsa*) incubated at a lower temperature had higher TMR than those incubated at a warmer temperature. To date, no study has investigated the effect of incubation temperature on zebra finch TMR, and two studies have examined the effects of incubation temperature on zebra finch RMR, with conflicting results. Supporting our findings, Berntsen and Bech (2021) found no difference in RMR among zebra finches that were incubated at 35.9°C and 37.9°C, measured at 15 and 45 days-old. In contrast, Wada et al. (2015) found that 25-day-old zebra finches incubated at 36.2°C had a higher RMR than those incubated at 37.4°C, although this effect was only found in females. In our study, we did not find an effect of sex on metabolic rate. In light of these

conflicting results among species, it is possible that the effect of incubation temperature on metabolic rate is species-specific. For example, zebra finches may be a species that is more resistant to small changes in the embryonic thermal environment, and offspring develop similar physiological traits regardless of their developmental conditions. It is also possible that metabolic rate is more influenced by inheritance than by either the early developmental environment or sex, which could explain differences among zebra finch studies. Indeed, we found evidence that both RMR and TMR are heritable (see below). If our breeding parents displayed more genetic variation than those in the study of Wada et al. (2015), this could have masked any effects of incubation temperature and could explain the difference in results of our two studies. It should also be noted that there was relatively low hatching success in our study, although it was



within the range of hatching success found in other captive zebra finch studies (e.g., von Engelhardt et al., 2004; Von Engelhardt et al., 2006; Criscuolo et al., 2011; Winter et al., 2013). Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that there was a selective process during hatching, and that all offspring that succeeded to hatch had similar metabolic rates, regardless of incubation temperature.

4.2 Relationship With Genetic Parents

Embryonic heart rate was positively related to genetic father RMR, suggesting that there could be a genetic component to embryonic metabolism. Although there is evidence that embryonic heart rate and metabolism vary among different genetic lines in poultry

(Druyan, 2010), and that there are significant among-clutch differences in embryonic heart rate in wild zebra finches (Sheldon et al., 2018), this is the first study to our knowledge that has explicitly investigated the relationship between parental and embryonic metabolism in birds. Although the correlation between genetic parent metabolism and embryonic heart rate could also be due to maternal effects during egg formation, such as egg yolk composition (Ho et al., 2011), the relationship between embryonic heart rate and genetic mother RMR was not significant. In contrast, because the relationship with genetic father RMR was statistically significant, this suggests that maternal effects may not be as important as genetics for determining embryonic metabolism in zebra finches.

TABLE 5 | Full and reduced models investigating the relationship between embryonic heart rate and Day 30 metabolism.

Term	Embryonic heart rate (bpm) ^a	
	F	P
	N_{control} = 20 eggs^b; 163 readings	
	N_{low} = 15 eggs^b; 132 readings	
	Full model	
	R²_m = 0.58; R²_c = 0.76	
Incubation temperature	1.95	0.18
Reading (1, 2, or 3)	83.26	<0.0001
Time until measurement (seconds)	155.24	<0.0001
Egg mass	3.05	0.11
Offspring RMR	0.95	0.34
Sex	5.84	0.03
Incubation X Reading	10.52	<0.0001
Incubation X Offspring RMR	3.02	0.09*
Incubation X Sex	2.33	0.15
	Reduced model	
	R²_m = 0.53; R²_c = 0.76	
Incubation temperature	20.61	<0.0001
Reading (1, 2, or 3)	83.30	<0.0001
Time until measurement (seconds)	154.7	<0.0001
Incubation X Reading	10.60	<0.0001

Bold values indicate statistical significance and asterisks (*) indicate marginal significance.

^aParent (genetic and foster) and individual IDs were included as random effects in model.

^bOnly includes individuals that lived until Day 30 (metabolism measurement).

As predicted, we found evidence that offspring RMR was highly heritable ($h^2 = 0.53$). This agrees with other studies that show that RMR is a heritable trait. For example, both Rønning et al. (2007) and Nilsson et al. (2009) measured RMR heritability by using restricted maximum likelihood to compare RMR among siblings and found evidence that RMR was heritable in zebra finches ($h^2 = 0.25$) and blue tits ($h^2 = 0.59$), respectively. Further, using methods similar to that of our study (i.e., parent-offspring regression), Bushuev et al. (2011) found evidence for heritability of RMR ($h^2 = 0.43$) in pied flycatchers (*Ficedula hypoleuca*). Further in line with our results, Bushuev et al. (2011) found that offspring RMR was correlated with genetic parent RMR, but not foster parent RMR. The relationship between genetic parent and offspring RMR that we found in this study could also be due to non-genetic maternal effects, such as hormone deposition to the egg. Indeed, in contrast to what we found for embryonic heart rate, when we examined the RMR of the genetic mother and genetic father as separate factors, we only found a relationship between offspring RMR and genetic mother RMR, and not genetic father RMR. This suggests that pre-incubation maternal effects may play a large role in determining post-hatch offspring RMR. For example, zebra finch eggs with higher testosterone concentrations produce nestlings and adults with higher RMR (Tobler et al., 2007; Nilsson et al., 2011). If the mothers with higher RMR in our study also deposited more testosterone into their eggs, this could partly explain the relationship that we found between parent and offspring RMR.

In comparison to RMR, the metabolic cost of thermoregulation (i.e., TMR and Δ MR) was less heritable. It may be expected that TMR would have a lower heritability than RMR because it may be more variable due to its dependence on the insulation capacity of plumage. For example, the body feathers of juvenile birds have different structural properties than those of adult birds (Butler et al., 2008), which could mask relationships between the TMR of parents and young offspring. However, although the h^2 of TMR ($h^2 = 0.38$) and Δ MR ($h^2 = 0.18$) were not statistically significant, the h^2 of TMR was still within the range of those found for RMR (see above). Further, offspring TMR tended to be positively related to genetic father TMR, and offspring Δ MR was positively related to genetic father Δ MR. This is the first evidence that we are aware of for the heritability of TMR or Δ MR, and suggests that the metabolic expenditure associated with thermoregulation could be shaped by natural selection. However, in contrast to RMR, we found little evidence for non-genetic maternal effects because, when genetic mother and genetic father were tested as separate factors, genetic mother TMR and Δ MR were not related to that of their offspring. Thus, any non-genetic maternal effect that may have influenced RMR either did not translate into differences in thermoregulatory capacity, or was masked by other driving factors (e.g., post-hatch environment; see below).

4.3 Relationship With Foster Parents

Although there were no relationships between foster parent and offspring RMR, we found that foster mother TMR and Δ MR were negatively related to that of their foster offspring, but only for offspring in the low treatment. This suggests that the impact of foster mother metabolism, and thus post-hatch parental care, is not on RMR, but rather on the ability of offspring to increase their metabolic rate when faced with a thermal energetic challenge. Thus, the ability of parents to increase their metabolic rate in response to an energetic challenge may be important for effective post-hatch parental care. Because most studies focus on RMR, our results call for future studies to focus more on TMR.

Specifically, we found that the more energy that foster mothers expended on thermoregulation, the less energy that their foster offspring expended on thermoregulation. It is possible that this relationship can be explained by differences in nestling provisioning. For example, foster mothers with a high metabolic rate should also have a high investment in parental care (Daan et al., 1990; Koteja, 2004; Sadowska et al., 2013), and provide a better developmental environment for their offspring (e.g., more food provisioning; Nilsson, 2002). In zebra finches, a greater food supply during nestling development, as opposed to food restriction, is related to lower offspring metabolic rate later in life (Crisuolo et al., 2008; Careau et al., 2014). Thus, this could explain the negative relationship that we found between foster mother and offspring TMR and Δ MR. In our study, offspring growth rate between Day 0 and Day 30 was not correlated with foster mother TMR ($p = 0.9$), and thus we did not find evidence to support the hypothesis that foster mother TMR is positively related to food provisioning and/or better parental care.

However, we did not measure parental care behavior (i.e., provisioning rates) in this study, and thus future studies are needed to determine whether there is a relationship between parental TMR and nestling provisioning rates, along with offspring growth rates and metabolism.

It is important to note that the relationships between foster mother and offspring TMR and Δ MR were only present in offspring incubated at the low temperature, and not the control temperature. This suggests that the impact of post-hatch care (e.g., nestling provisioning) is dependent on the quality of pre-natal care (i.e., incubation temperature). It is possible that offspring incubated at the control temperature are more resistant to differences in their post-hatch environment than those incubated at the low temperature, although little is known about how incubation temperature may influence trait plasticity or canalization. Future research is needed to investigate how different thermal environments shape avian thermoregulatory ability across generations, especially in the context of acclimation and adaptation in response to climate change (Nord and Giroud, 2020).

4.4 Relationship Between Heart Rate, Hatch Success, and Metabolic Rate Within Individuals

Embryonic heart rate is important because it can be used as a proxy for embryonic metabolic rate (Du et al., 2010), and can also provide insights into developmental rate, hatchling phenotype, and the effects of environmental stressors (reviewed in Sheldon et al., 2018). Contrary to what we expected, we did not find that embryonic heart rate was related to offspring RMR at Day 30. However, to our knowledge, this is the first study that has investigated whether there is a relationship between embryonic heart rate and offspring metabolism later in life. Our results suggest that individual metabolic rate can change throughout different stages of development, and that embryonic heart rate cannot be used to predict later-life metabolic rate in zebra finches. Indeed, studies that have found a positive relationship between heart rate and metabolic rate measured these two traits at the same developmental stage (i.e., embryonic; Du et al., 2010; Ide et al., 2017; Goodchild et al., 2020), and one study did not find a relationship between heart rate and metabolism even when measured at the same developmental stage (i.e., embryonic and hatching; Sartori et al., 2017). Similarly, one study on zebra finches found no relationship between embryonic heart rate and post-hatch growth rate or activity levels (Sheldon and Griffith, 2018). Thus, it appears that embryonic heart rate may not be able to be extrapolated to phenotypic differences later in life.

However, when we investigated an endpoint closer to embryonic development—hatch success—we did find a relationship with embryonic heart rate. Eggs that hatched had greater embryonic heart rates than those that did not hatch, suggesting that heart rate may be an indicator of embryo quality or hatching probability. Although heart rate has been used in other studies to predict hatching date or to confirm embryonic mortality (reviewed in Sheldon et al., 2018), this is the first study to our knowledge that has explicitly linked the magnitude of embryonic heart rate to hatching probability. Because all individuals that hatch also have high heart

rates as embryos, this could create a selective process for a particular metabolic functioning. It is possible that this could mask potential effects of the incubation treatment or parental care on offspring metabolic rate, and could also explain why we did not find some of the relationships that we had predicted (e.g., effect of incubation temperature on RMR, relationship with foster parent RMR).

5 CONCLUSION

In this study, we show that avian metabolic rate throughout development, from the embryo to nutritional independence, is related to parental inheritance, the pre-hatch environment (i.e., incubation temperature), and post-hatch conditions (i.e., foster parent). Revealing how these different factors are related to RMR and TMR sheds light on how metabolism and the energetic cost of thermoregulation can be shaped by environmental changes, parental care decisions, and natural selection. Although most studies to date focus on RMR, our study reveals important relationships with TMR, which could be particularly important in the context of climate change for understanding how the early thermal environment and parental care affect thermoregulatory ability, and the possibility that thermoregulatory ability can be shaped by natural selection. More work is needed to determine if the differences in RMR and TMR that we found in this study have effects on short- or long-term offspring fitness.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The raw data supporting the conclusion of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by the Autorisation de Projet Utilisant des Animaux à des Fins Scientifiques under file number APAFIS#23727-2020011311559318.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SH and FA contributed to the conception of the study. SH, OL and FA designed the study methods. SH and LS collected the data. SH performed the analyses and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This study is based on work funded by a Fyssen Foundation Post-doctoral Study Grant (to SH), the CPER ECONAT, the CNRS, and the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR project

URBASTRESS, ANR-16-CE02-0004-01, and ANR project VITIBIRD) (to FA).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Lucie Michel, Clémence Furic, and Elsa Daniaud for help with animal husbandry and experimental procedures and Sophie Dupont for help with respirometry. We also thank

Stephen Ferguson and Ila Mishra for reviewing the manuscript and for their helpful comments.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2022.892154/full#supplementary-material>

REFERENCES

- Adam, I., Scharff, C., and Honarmand, M. (2014). Who Is Who? Non-invasive Methods to Individually Sex and Mark Altricial Chicks. *J. Vis. Exp.* 87, 51429. doi:10.3791/51429
- Åkesson, M., Bensch, S., Hasselquist, D., Tarka, M., and Hansson, B. (2008). Estimating Heritabilities and Genetic Correlations: Comparing the 'Animal Model' with Parent-Offspring Regression Using Data from a Natural Population. *PLOS ONE* 3, e1739. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0001739
- Aldrich, T. W., and Raveling, D. G. (1983). Effects of Experience and Body Weight on Incubation Behavior of Canada Geese. *Auk* 100, 670–679. doi:10.1093/auk/100.3.670
- Amininasab, S. M., Kingma, S. A., Birker, M., Hildenbrandt, H., and Komdeur, J. (2016). The Effect of Ambient Temperature, Habitat Quality and Individual Age on Incubation Behaviour and Incubation Feeding in a Socially Monogamous Songbird. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 70, 1591–1600. doi:10.1007/s00265-016-2167-2
- Ardia, D. R., and Clotfelter, E. D. (2007). Individual Quality and Age Affect Responses to an Energetic Constraint in a Cavity-Nesting Bird. *Behav. Ecol.* 18, 259–266. doi:10.1093/beheco/arl078
- Auer, S. K., Dick, C. A., Metcalfe, N. B., and Reznick, D. N. (2018). Metabolic Rate Evolves Rapidly and in Parallel with the Pace of Life History. *Nat. Commun.* 9, 14. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02514-z
- Barba, E., Atiénzar, F., Marín, M., Monrós, J. S., and Gil-Delgado, J. A. (2009). Patterns of Nestling Provisioning by a Single-prey Loader Bird, Great Tit *Parus Major*. *Bird Study* 56, 187–197. doi:10.1080/00063650902792049
- Bartoń, K. (2018). *MuMin: Multi-Model Inference*. R package version 1.42.1. Available at: <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=Mumin>.
- Baškiera, S., and Gvoždík, L. (2021). Repeatability and Heritability of Resting Metabolic Rate in a Long-Lived Amphibian. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. A: Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 253, 110858. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2020.110858
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., and Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *J. Stat. Soft.* 67, 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Bech, C., Langseth, I., and Gabrielsen, G. W. (1999). Repeatability of Basal Metabolism in Breeding Female Kittiwakes *Rissatrirdactyla*. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 266, 2161–2167. doi:10.1098/rspb.1999.0903
- Berntsen, H. H., and Bech, C. (2016). Incubation Temperature Influences Survival in a Small Passerine Bird. *J. Avian Biol.* 47, 141–145. doi:10.1111/jav.00688
- Berntsen, H. H., and Bech, C. (2021). Incubation Temperature and Physiological Aging in the Zebra Finch. *PLoS one* 16, e0260037. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0260037
- Biro, P. A., and Stamps, J. A. (2010). Do consistent Individual Differences in Metabolic Rate Promote Consistent Individual Differences in Behavior? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 653–659. doi:10.1016/j.tree.2010.08.003
- Boulton, R. L., and Cassey, P. (2012). How Avian Incubation Behaviour Influences Egg Surface Temperatures: Relationships with Egg Position, Development and Clutch Size. *J. Avian Biol.* 43, 289–296. doi:10.1111/j.1600-048X.2012.05657.x
- Brischoux, F., Meillère, A., Dupoué, A., Lourdais, O., and Angelier, F. (2017). Traffic Noise Decreases Nestlings' Metabolic Rates in an Urban Exploiter. *J. Avian Biol.* 48, 905–909. doi:10.1111/jav.01139
- Broggi, J., Orell, M., Hohtola, E., and Nilsson, J.-Å. (2004). Metabolic Response to Temperature Variation in the Great Tit: an Interpopulation Comparison. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 73, 967–972. doi:10.1111/j.0021-8790.2004.00872.x
- Broggi, J., Hohtola, E., Koivula, K., Orell, M., and Nilsson, J. k. (2009). Long-term Repeatability of winter Basal Metabolic Rate and Mass in a Wild Passerine. *Funct. Ecol.* 23, 768–773. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01561.x
- Bryant, D. M. (1997). Energy Expenditure in Wild Birds. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 56, 1025–1039. doi:10.1079/PNS19970107
- Burness, G. P., McClelland, G. B., Wardrop, S. L., and Hochachka, P. W. (2000). Effect of Brood Size Manipulation on Offspring Physiology: an experiment with Passerine Birds. *J. Exp. Biol.* 203, 3513–3520. doi:10.1242/jeb.203.22.3513
- Burton, T., Killen, S. S., Armstrong, J. D., and Metcalfe, N. B. (2011). What Causes Intraspecific Variation in Resting Metabolic Rate and what Are its Ecological Consequences? *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 3465–3473. doi:10.1098/rspb.2011.1778
- Bushuev, A. V., Kerimov, A. B., and Ivankina, E. V. (2011). Estimation of Heritability and Repeatability of Resting Metabolic Rate in Birds by the Example of Free-Living Pied Flycatchers *Ficedula Hypoleuca* (Aves: Passeriformes). *Biol. Bull. Rev.* 1, 26–46. doi:10.1134/s2079086411010026
- Butler, L. K., Rohwer, S., and Speidel, M. G. (2008). Quantifying Structural Variation in Contour Feathers to Address Functional Variation and Life History Trade-Offs. *J. Avian Biol.* 39, 629–639. doi:10.1111/j.1600-048x.2008.04432.x
- Calder, W. A. (1964). Gaseous Metabolism and Water Relations of the Zebra Finch, *Taeniopygia Castanotis*. *Physiol. Zoolog.* 37, 400–413. doi:10.1086/physzool.37.4.30152758
- Careau, V., and Garland, T. (2012). Performance, Personality, and Energetics: Correlation, Causation, and Mechanism. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 85, 543–571. doi:10.1086/666970
- Careau, V., Thomas, D., Humphries, M. M., and Réale, D. (2008). Energy Metabolism and Animal Personality. *Oikos* 117, 641–653. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2008.16513.x
- Careau, V., Butte, W. A., and Buchanan, K. L. (2014). Early-Developmental Stress, Repeatability, and Canalization in a Suite of Physiological and Behavioral Traits in Female Zebra Finches. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 54, 539–554. doi:10.1093/icb/ictu095
- Carleton, S. A., and Rio, C. M. d. (2005). The Effect of Cold-Induced Increased Metabolic Rate on the Rate of ¹³C and ¹⁵N Incorporation in House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *Oecologia* 144, 226–232. doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0066-8
- Coe, B. H., Beck, M. L., Chin, S. Y., Jachowski, C. M. B., and Hopkins, W. A. (2015). Local Variation in Weather Conditions Influences Incubation Behavior and Temperature in a Passerine Bird. *J. Avian Biol.* 46, 385–394. doi:10.1111/jav.00581
- Conway, C. J., and Martin, T. E. (2000). Effects of Ambient Temperature on Avian Incubation Behavior. *Behav. Ecol.* 11, 178–188. doi:10.1093/beheco/11.2.178
- Crisuolo, F., Monaghan, P., Nasir, L., and Metcalfe, N. B. (2008). Early Nutrition and Phenotypic Development: 'catch-Up' Growth Leads to Elevated Metabolic Rate in Adulthood. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 275, 1565–1570. doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0148
- Crisuolo, F., Monaghan, P., Proust, A., Škorpirová, J., Laurie, J., and Metcalfe, N. B. (2011). Costs of Compensation: Effect of Early Life Conditions and Reproduction on Flight Performance in Zebra Finches. *Oecologia* 167, 315–323. doi:10.1007/s00442-011-1986-0
- Daan, S., Masman, D., and Groenewold, A. (1990). Avian Basal Metabolic Rates: Their Association with Body Composition and Energy Expenditure in Nature. *Am. J. Physiol.-Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 259, R333–R340. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1990.259.2.R333
- Deeming, D. C., and Ferguson, M. W. J. (1991). "Physiological Effects of Incubation Temperature on Embryonic Development in Reptiles and Birds," in *Egg Incubation: Its Effects on Embryonic Development in Birds*

- and Reptiles. Editors D. C. Deeming and M. W. Ferguson (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 147–172. doi:10.1017/cbo9780511585739.011
- Dezetter, M., Dupoué, A., Le Galliard, J.-F., and Lourdaï, O. (2021). Additive Effects of Developmental Acclimation and Physiological Syndromes on Lifetime Metabolic and Water Loss Rates of a Dry-skinned Ectotherm. *Funct. Ecol.* 36, 432–445. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13951
- Druyan, S. (2010). The Effects of Genetic Line (Broilers vs. Layers) on Embryo Development. *Poult. Sci.* 89, 1457–1467. doi:10.3382/ps.2009-00304
- Du, W.-G., Ye, H., Zhao, B., Warner, D. A., and Shine, R. (2010). Thermal Acclimation of Heart Rates in Reptilian Embryos. *PLoS ONE* 5, e15308. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0015308
- Dupont, S. M., Grace, J. K., Lourdaï, O., Brischoux, F., and Angelier, F. (2019). Slowing Down the Metabolic Engine: Impact of Early-Life Corticosterone Exposure on Adult Metabolism in House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *J. Exp. Biol.* 222, jeb211771. doi:10.1242/jeb.211771
- DuRant, S. E., Hopkins, W. A., and Hepp, G. R. (2011). Embryonic Developmental Patterns and Energy Expenditure Are Affected by Incubation Temperature in Wood Ducks (*Aix sponsa*). *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 84, 451–457. doi:10.1086/661749
- DuRant, S. E., Hopkins, W. A., Wilson, A. F., and Hepp, G. R. (2012). Incubation Temperature Affects the Metabolic Cost of Thermoregulation in a Young Precocial Bird. *Funct. Ecol.* 26, 416–422. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2011.01945.x
- DuRant, S. E., Hopkins, W. A., Hepp, G. R., and Walters, J. R. (2013). Ecological, Evolutionary, and Conservation Implications of Incubation Temperature-dependent Phenotypes in Birds. *Biol. Rev.* 88, 499–509. doi:10.1111/brv.12015
- Fox, J., and Weisberg, S. (2011). *An [R] Companion to Applied Regression*. 2nd ed. Thousand Oaks CA: Sage. Available at: <http://socserv.socsci.mcmaster.ca/jfox/Books/Companion>.
- Ghalambor, C. K., Peluc, S. I., and Martin, T. E. (2013). Plasticity of Parental Care under the Risk of Predation: How Much Should Parents Reduce Care? *Biol. Lett.* 9, 20130154. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2013.0154
- Goodchild, C. G., Grisham, K., Belden, J. B., and DuRant, S. E. (2020). Effects of Sublethal Application of Deepwater Horizon Oil to Bird Eggs on Embryonic Heart and Metabolic Rate. *Conserv. Biol.* 34, 1262–1270. doi:10.1111/cobi.13539
- Groothuis, T. G. G., Müller, W., Von Engelhardt, N., Carere, C., and Eising, C. (2005). Maternal Hormones as a Tool to Adjust Offspring Phenotype in Avian Species. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 29, 329–352. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.12.002
- Haftorn, S., and Reinertsen, R. E. (1985). The Effect of Temperature and Clutch Size on the Energetic Cost of Incubation in a Free-Living Blue Tit (*Parus caeruleus*). *Auk* 102, 470–478. doi:10.1093/auk/102.3.470
- Hepp, G. R., DuRant, S. E., and Hopkins, W. A. (2015). “Influence of Incubation Temperature on Offspring Phenotype and Fitness in Birds,” in *Nests, Eggs and Incubation: New Ideas about Avian Reproduction*. Editors D. C. Deeming and S. J. Reynolds (New York: Oxford University Press), 171–178. doi:10.1086/49998810.1093/acprof:oso/9780198718666.003.0014
- Ho, D. H., Reed, W. L., and Burggren, W. W. (2011). Egg Yolk Environment Differentially Influences Physiological and Morphological Development of Broiler and Layer Chicken Embryos. *J. Exp. Biol.* 214, 619–628. doi:10.1242/jeb.046714
- Hope, S. F., DuRant, S. E., Angelier, F., Hallagan, J. J., Moore, I. T., Parenteau, C., et al. (2020). Prolactin Is Related to Incubation Constancy and Egg Temperature Following a Disturbance in a Precocial Bird. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 295, 113489. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2020.113489
- Hope, S. F., DuRant, S. E., Hallagan, J. J., Beck, M. L., Kenamer, R. A., and Hopkins, W. A. (2021). Incubation Temperature as a Constraint on Clutch Size Evolution. *Funct. Ecol.* 35, 909–919. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13764
- Ide, S. T., Ide, R., and Mortola, J. P. (2017). The Contribution of Heart Rate to the Oxygen Consumption of the Chicken Embryo during Cold- or Hypoxia-Hypometabolism. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A: Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 203, 49–58. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.08.026
- Killpack, T. L., and Karasov, W. H. (2012). Growth and Development of House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) in Response to Chronic Food Restriction throughout the Nestling Period. *J. Exp. Biol.* 215, 1806–1815. doi:10.1242/jeb.066316
- Koteja, P. (2004). The Evolution of Concepts on the Evolution of Endothermy in Birds and Mammals. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 77, 1043–1050. doi:10.1086/423741
- Lenth, R. (2018). *Emmeans: Estimated Marginal Means, Aka Least-Squares Means*. R package version 1.3.0.
- Lepczyk, C. A., and Karasov, W. H. (2000). Effect of Ephemeral Food Restriction on Growth of House Sparrows. *Auk* 117, 164–174. doi:10.1093/auk/117.1.164
- Low, M., Makan, T., and Castro, I. (2012). Food Availability and Offspring Demand Influence Sex-specific Patterns and Repeatability of Parental Provisioning. *Behav. Ecol.* 23, 25–34. doi:10.1093/beheco/arr145
- McFarlane, S. E., Ålund, M., Sirkkiä, P. M., and Qvarnström, A. (2021). Low Heritability but Significant Early Environmental Effects on Resting Metabolic Rate in a Wild Passerine. *Am. Nat.* 198, 551–560. doi:10.1086/715842
- McNab, B. K. (1997). On the Utility of Uniformity in the Definition of Basal Rate of Metabolism. *Physiol. Zool.* 70, 718–720. doi:10.1086/515881
- Moe, B., Brunvoll, S., Mork, D., Brobakk, T. E., and Bech, C. (2005). Does Food Shortage Delay Development of Homeothermy in European Shag Nestlings (Phalacrocorax Aristotelis)? *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 175, 21–30. doi:10.1007/s00360-004-0458-9
- Nespolo, R. F., and Franco, M. (2007). Whole-animal Metabolic Rate Is a Repeatable Trait: a Meta-Analysis. *J. Exp. Biol.* 210, 2000–2005. doi:10.1242/jeb.02780
- Nilsson, J.-Å., Åkesson, M., and Nilsson, J. F. (2009). Heritability of Resting Metabolic Rate in a Wild Population of Blue Tits. *J. Evol. Biol.* 22, 1867–1874. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2009.01798.x
- Nilsson, J. F., Tobler, M., Nilsson, J.-Å., and Sandell, M. I. (2011). Long-Lasting Consequences of Elevated Yolk Testosterone for Metabolism in the Zebra Finch. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 84, 287–291. doi:10.1086/659006
- Nilsson, J. Å. (2002). Metabolic Consequences of Hard Work. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 269, 1735–1739. doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2071
- Nord, A., and Giroud, S. (2020). Lifelong Effects of Thermal Challenges During Development in Birds and Mammals. *Front. Physiol.* 11, 419. Available at: <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fphys.2020.00419>. (Accessed February 3, 2022). doi:10.3389/fphys.2020.00419
- Nord, A., and Nilsson, J.-Å. (2011). Incubation Temperature Affects Growth and Energy Metabolism in Blue Tit Nestlings. *Am. Nat.* 178, 639–651. doi:10.1086/662172
- Nord, A., and Williams, J. B. (2015). “The Energetic Costs of Incubation,” in *Nests, Eggs & Incubation*. Editors D. C. Deeming and S. J. Reynolds (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press), 152–170. doi:10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198718666.003.0013
- Norin, T., and Metcalfe, N. B. (2019). Ecological and Evolutionary Consequences of Metabolic Rate Plasticity in Response to Environmental Change. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* 374, 20180180. doi:10.1098/rstb.2018.0180
- Nzama, S. N., Downs, C. T., and Brown, M. (2010). Seasonal Variation in the Metabolism-Temperature Relation of House Sparrows (*Passer domesticus*) in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *J. Therm. Biol.* 35, 100–104. doi:10.1016/j.jtherbio.2009.12.002
- Olson, C. R., Wirthlin, M., Lovell, P. V., and Mello, C. V. (2014). Proper Care, Husbandry, and Breeding Guidelines for the Zebra Finch, *Taeniopygia guttata*. *Cold Spring Harb. Protoc.* 2014, 1243–1248. doi:10.1101/pdb.prot084780
- Pettersen, A. K., Marshall, D. J., and White, C. R. (2018). Understanding Variation in Metabolic Rate. *J. Exp. Biol.* 221, jeb166876. doi:10.1242/jeb.166876
- R Core Team (2018). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at: <https://www.r-project.org/>.
- Réveillon, T., Rota, T., Chauvet, É., Lecerf, A., and Sentis, A. (2019). Repeatable Inter-individual Variation in the thermal Sensitivity of Metabolic Rate. *Oikos* 128, 1633–1640. doi:10.1111/oik.06392
- Rønning, B., Moe, B., and Bech, C. (2005). Long-term Repeatability Makes Basal Metabolic Rate a Likely Heritable Trait in the Zebra Finch *Taeniopygia guttata*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 208, 4663–4669. doi:10.1242/jeb.01941
- Rønning, B., Jensen, H., Moe, B., and Bech, C. (2007). Basal Metabolic Rate: Heritability and Genetic Correlations with Morphological Traits in the Zebra Finch. *J. Evol. Biol.* 20, 1815–1822. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2007.01384.x

- Rønning, B., Mortensen, A. S., Moe, B., Chastel, O., Arukwe, A., and Bech, C. (2009). Food Restriction in Young Japanese Quails: Effects on Growth, Metabolism, plasma Thyroid Hormones and mRNA Species in the Thyroid Hormone Signalling Pathway. *J. Exp. Biol.* 212, 3060–3067. doi:10.1242/jeb.029835
- Rønning, B., Broggi, J., Bech, C., Moe, B., Ringsby, T. H., Pärn, H., et al. (2016). Is Basal Metabolic Rate Associated with Recruit Production and Survival in Free-living House Sparrows? *Funct. Ecol.* 30, 1140–1148. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12597
- Rubin, A. (2019). Effects of Periodic Cooling during Incubation on Lifelong Physiology in Zebra Finches. Master's Thesis. Auburn, AL, USA: Auburn University.
- Sadowska, J., Gębczyński, A. K., and Konarzewski, M. (2013). Basal Metabolic Rate Is Positively Correlated with Parental Investment in Laboratory Mice. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 280, 20122576. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.2576
- Sartori, M. R., Abe, A. S., Crossley, D. A., II, and Taylor, E. W. (2017). Rates of Oxygen Uptake Increase Independently of Changes in Heart Rate in Late Stages of Development and at Hatching in the green iguana, *Iguana iguana*. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. Part A: Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 205, 28–34. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2016.12.020
- Schmidt, K. L., MacDougall-Shackleton, E. A., and MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A. (2012). Developmental Stress Has Sex-specific Effects on Nestling Growth and Adult Metabolic Rates but No Effect on Adult Body Size or Body Composition in Song Sparrows. *J. Exp. Biol.* 215, 3207–3217. doi:10.1242/jeb.068965
- Schwagmeyer, P. L., and Mock, D. W. (2003). How Consistently Are Good Parents Good Parents? Repeatability of Parental Care in the House Sparrow, *Passer domesticus*. *Ethology* 109, 303–313. doi:10.1046/j.1439-0310.2003.00868.x
- Sheldon, E. L., and Griffith, S. C. (2018). Embryonic Heart Rate Predicts Prenatal Development Rate, but Is Not Related to post-natal Growth Rate or Activity Level in the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Ethology* 124, 829–837. doi:10.1111/eth.12817
- Sheldon, E. L., McCowan, L. S. C., McDiarmid, C. S., and Griffith, S. C. (2018). Measuring the Embryonic Heart Rate of Wild Birds: An Opportunity to Take the Pulse on Early Development. *Auk* 135, 71–82. doi:10.1642/AUK-17-111.1
- Spencer, K. A., and Verhulst, S. (2008). Post-natal Exposure to Corticosterone Affects Standard Metabolic Rate in the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 159, 250–256. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2008.09.007
- Stier, A., Metcalfe, N. B., and Monaghan, P. (2020). Pace and Stability of Embryonic Development Affect Telomere Dynamics: an Experimental Study in a Precocial Bird Model. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 287, 20201378. doi:10.1098/rspb.2020.1378
- Tinbergen, J., and Williams, J. (2002). "Energetics of Incubation," in *Avian Incubation Behaviour, Environment and Evolution*. Editor D. Deeming (Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press), 299–313.
- Tobler, M., Nilsson, J.-Å., and Nilsson, J. F. (2007). Costly Steroids: Egg Testosterone Modulates Nestling Metabolic Rate in the Zebra Finch. *Biol. Lett.* 3, 408–410. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2007.0127
- Vedder, O., Kürten, N., and Bouwhuis, S. (2017). Intraspecific Variation in and Environment-Dependent Resource Allocation to Embryonic Development Time in Common Terns. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 90, 453–460. doi:10.1086/691690
- Verhulst, S., Holveck, M.-J., and Riebel, K. (2006). Long-term Effects of Manipulated Natal Brood Size on Metabolic Rate in Zebra Finches. *Biol. Lett.* 2, 478–480. doi:10.1098/rsbl.2006.0496
- von Engelhardt, N., Dijkstra, C., Daan, S., and Groothuis, T. G. G. (2004). Effects of 17- β -Estradiol Treatment of Female Zebra Finches on Offspring Sex Ratio and Survival. *Horm. Behav.* 45, 306–313. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2003.12.009
- Von Engelhardt, N., Carere, C., Dijkstra, C., and G. G. Groothuis, T. (2006). Sex-specific Effects of Yolk Testosterone on Survival, Begging and Growth of Zebra Finches. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* 273, 65–70. doi:10.1098/rspb.2005.3274
- Wada, H., Kriengwatana, B., Allen, N., Schmidt, K. L., Soma, K. K., and MacDougall-Shackleton, S. A. (2015). Transient and Permanent Effects of Suboptimal Incubation Temperatures on Growth, Metabolic Rate, Immune Function, and Adrenocortical Responses in Zebra Finches. *J. Exp. Biol.* 218, 2847–2855. doi:10.1242/jeb.114108
- White, C. R., and Kearney, M. R. (2013). Determinants of Inter-specific Variation in Basal Metabolic Rate. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 183, 1–26. doi:10.1007/s00360-012-0676-5
- Wickham, H. (2011). The Split-Apply-Combine Strategy for Data Analysis. *J. Stat. Softw.* 40, 1–29. doi:10.18637/jss.v040.i01
- Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Wiebe, K., and Neufeld, C. (2003). Correlates of Parental Care in Northern Flickers *Colaptes auratus*: Do the Sexes Contribute Equally while Provisioning Young? *Ardea -Wageningen-* 91, 91–101.
- Williams, J. B., Miller, R. A., Harper, J. M., and Wiersma, P. (2010). Functional Linkages for the Pace of Life, Life-History, and Environment in Birds. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 50, 855–868. doi:10.1093/icb/icq024
- Williams, D. P., Avery, J. D., Gabrielson, T. B., and Brittingham, M. C. (2021). Experimental Playback of Natural Gas Compressor Noise Reduces Incubation Time and Hatching success in Two Secondary Cavity-Nesting Bird Species. *Ornithol. Appl.* 123, duaa066. doi:10.1093/ornithapp/duaa066
- Winter, V., Elliott, J. E., Letcher, R. J., and Williams, T. D. (2013). Validation of an Egg-Injection Method for Embryotoxicity Studies in a Small, Model Songbird, the Zebra Finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*). *Chemosphere* 90, 125–131. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2012.08.017
- Wray, N., and Visscher, P. (2008). Estimating Trait Heritability. *Nat. Educ.* 1, 29.
- Wright, J., Both, C., Cotton, P. A., and Bryant, D. (1998). Quality vs. Quantity: Energetic and Nutritional Trade-Offs in Parental Provisioning Strategies. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 67, 620–634. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2656.1998.00221.x

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Hope, Schmitt, Lourdaïs and Angelier. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.